



Exploring the suitability and acceptability of peer support for older veterans

Journal:	<i>Quality in Ageing and Older Adults</i>
Manuscript ID	QAOA-09-2016-0036.R1
Manuscript Type:	Research Paper
Keywords:	Veterans, Older adults, peer support, Loneliness, social interventions, social support, befriending

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Quality in Ageing and Older Adults

Exploring the suitability and acceptability of peer support for older veterans

Introduction

The mental health and wellbeing of formerly serving military personnel (known here as veterans) has been a focus of policy and research for some time. While most leave the forces in good physical and mental health, there are others for whom the change in circumstance is not as positive, contributing to posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), depression, and anxiety, and alcohol misuse, which require formal intervention (e.g. Mental Health Foundation, 2013). Others may never develop clinical disorders, but live with subclinical symptoms that impact on their daily lives (Litz, Steenkamp and Nash, 2014). Particularly salient here is that some function successfully and without any symptoms for many years, but may experience stress related symptoms in later life (e.g. Bender, 1997; Davison *et al.*, 2006; Elder and Clipp, 1989; Hunt and Robbins, 2001), perhaps due to changes in cognitive function, physical health, and social support (Baltes and Lang, 1997; Floyd, Rice and Black, 2002) , or the natural desire to reminisce.

1
2
3 This focus on emergence or later-life re-experiencing is vital, yet masks a more subtle issue;
4 understanding the impact of service from a lifespan perspective and the need for sustained
5 meaningful social relationships (Settersten and Patterson, 2006; Spiro and Settersten, 2012). From a
6 developmental perspective, service experience is most likely to occur during late adolescence and
7 early adulthood and therefore might be seen as an intrinsically meaningful and influential life stage.
8 If service continues into middle and later adulthood, then these experiences comprise the majority of
9 one's life experiences. Taken a step further, this has implications for the life review process: the
10 drive to revisit memories in later life (Butler, 1963; Erikson, 1994). The life review process occurs in
11 later life and involves reflection upon the life story, through reminiscence (i.e. Wong and Watt,
12 1991), which serves to maintain identity and self-esteem (Coleman, 1999) and has been found to be
13 valuable for veterans in coping with traumatic memories (i.e. Shaw & Westwood, 2002) and as a
14 pleasurable activity (i.e. Sixsmith, Sixsmith, Callender and Corr, 2014). .

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30 Naturally occurring comradeship would seem to be the most obvious form of support. Indeed,
31 the importance of comradeship is borne out in the literature with social connectedness and
32 participation (Choi, DiNitto, & Marti, 2016), and belonging (Barron, Davies, & Wiggins 2008)
33 having an impact on perceived health and wellbeing. Further, Yang and Burr (2015) recently
34 determined that even in later life, veterans' subjective wellbeing can be moderated by social support.
35 However, while previous research suggested comradeship to be essential in helping veterans cope
36 with experiences (Hunt and Robbins, 2001), further exploration determined that there can be
37 occasions where avoidance or *non-communication* takes place between veterans, resulting in ways of
38 coping that might be maladaptive (Burnell, Coleman and Hunt, 2006, 2010). Alternatively, these
39 friendships may no longer be available to older veterans. Due to natural changes during life, veterans
40 may lose family and comrades who may have helped them cope (Hunt and Robbins, 2001), or there
41 may be a natural reduction in the size of social networks (Isaacowitz, Smith and Carstensen, 2003).
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

1
2
3 or reduced (Allen, 2008). In addition, for older populations generally, there are increased concerns
4 around social isolation and loneliness (Department of Health 1999, 2001), which means that older
5 people may not have anyone to share important experiences with (Cook and O'Donnell, 2005) or the
6 means to attend relevant groups. As such, there may be lost opportunities for identity maintenance
7 through reminiscence or coping with difficult memories.
8
9

10
11
12
13
14 Being a *veteran* may pose an additional risk since changes in the nature of war and conflict,
15 and reduction of personnel in the British Armed Forces, may see the veteran population become
16 increasingly dispersed and invisible. The recent Household Survey conducted by The Royal British
17 Legion (TRBL, 2014) found that the British veteran population is currently elderly and declining in
18 size. This may become increasingly so if we pace forward to those who have served in less well
19 known conflicts post- World War II and what the needs of these small cohorts of veterans may be as
20 they age. It is not that exposure to stressful or traumatic events is exclusive to service life, but there is
21 an increased likelihood to exposure and a sense of comradeship and cohesion that perhaps presents
22 different types of need in later life.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 For reasons associated with developmental tasks, such as the desire to reminisce, as well as
35 working through or coping with difficult memories, those who have served at some point in their
36 lives may benefit from informal, but organised, low intensity peer support, naturally occurring
37 support is either absent or not appropriate. *Peer support* is defined here as support from a non-
38 professional who has experienced similar life events or challenges to the person they are supporting
39 (Dennis, 2003), and with whom there is shared understanding and trust. Peer supporters provide
40 companionship (as with befriending), a listening ear, and can signpost if necessary. The objective of
41 the current study was to explore the suitability and acceptability of peer support for older veterans.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52 *Older veterans* are defined here as those 55 years and over, which is in line with UK government
53 definitions, but the implicit focus was on the older and oldest old veterans. To achieve this, a scoping
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 exercise concerning current UK practice and the international evidence for peer support for older
4 veterans was carried out ahead of the consultation.
5
6

7 **Scoping current practice in the UK**

8
9 Although a great number of community-based support interventions exist for veterans in the United
10 Kingdom (UK) their content and impact is not well known. Fifty organisations were identified that
11 provide informal support, with 17 offering a form of peer support. Consistent with reviews in other
12 contexts (e.g. Creamer *et al.*, 2012), there was considerable variation in the nature of provision. Of
13 the 50 organisations, the vast majority used the terms *peer support* to describe informal and
14 unstructured support. That is, veterans took the opportunity to talk to one another between therapy
15 sessions and the support was not planned or guided. It was also used to describe mutual group
16 support. In some cases there was no facilitator, in others a member of the group was nominated as a
17 facilitator. In most cases, there was an absence of a more experienced, trained peer. *Peers* was also
18 used to describe those who were not health professionals but were not formerly serving either; *lay*
19 *supporters* in other contexts. Of the 17, two appeared to be peer support models as defined in the
20 current research. One involved a drop in service where peers provided a listening ear and signposted
21 onto other services. The other involved a goal directed service in which formerly serving personnel
22 were matched with a trained peer or lay supporter (Cronin, 2013).
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 **Scoping the evidence base**

41
42 There is also limited academic and clinical research evaluating peer support for veterans of any age
43 group or gender, but particularly those who are older. Evidence from other health contexts suggests
44 that low intensity peer support services are viable and systematic reviews concerning the
45 effectiveness of peer support suggest that there is some evidence that they have beneficial effects.
46
47 For instance, Pitt *et al* (2013) reviewed the impact of consumer-providers in mental health services
48 provision and found that the impact on quality of life for those supported by a peer was equal to that
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 of a person supported by a professional. In addition, there might be other benefits such as a reduction
4
5 in boundaries in accessing care.
6

7
8 In terms of the case of peer support for veteran populations, Bird (2015) highlighted a
9
10 number of programmes focusing on the role of peer support to enhance adherence to mental health
11
12 programmes, destigmatise mental health issues, and to decrease depressive symptoms. However, the
13
14 target populations were either currently serving personnel, or those transitioning to civilian life while
15
16 still in younger adulthood. The scoping review carried out as part of the current research explored the
17
18 evidence for peer support for formerly serving veteran populations (reference removed). Four peer
19
20 support models were identified, but none were specifically for older. One of the models, *Shoulder to*
21
22 *Shoulder*, was based in the UK (Cronin, 2013), with the other three based in the United States of
23
24 America (USA); *Vet-to-Vet* (Barber, Rosenheck, Armstrong and Resnick, 2008; Eisen *et al.*, 2012;
25
26 Goldberg and Resnick, 2010; Resnick, Armstrong, Sperrazza, Harkness, Resnick and Rosenheck,
27
28 2004; Resnick and Rosenheck, 2010), *Buddy-to-Buddy* (Greden, *et al.*, 2010), and Veterans
29
30 Administration (VA) *Little Brother/Sister* programme (Jain, McLean and Rosen, 2012). The
31
32 interventions also focused on acute conditions, as opposed to enhancing wellbeing and reducing
33
34 isolation, or focused on enablement by providing practical support. It remains essential that the
35
36 concept of low intensity peer support for older veterans is explored, particularly in the context of
37
38 gerontological theory.
39
40
41

42 43 **Method**

44
45 The aim of the veteran consultation was to explore veterans' experiences of previous help seeking
46
47 behaviours, perceptions of peer support, and to explore potential components of a peer support
48
49 service. This was achieved through consultation with veterans, who were involved as stakeholders.
50
51 Two levels of involvement were utilised: First, consultation allowed for the exploratory,
52
53 developmental aspect of the research (Minogue, 2009); second, a formerly serving member of the
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 community, who had used relevant services, worked as part of the research team in a collaborative
4 capacity (Rose, 2009).
5
6

7 Veterans aged 55 years and over were invited via local gatekeepers, such as Engage (the
8 School of Health Sciences and Social Work's public involvement panel), the University of
9 Portsmouth Ageing Network (UPAN), and various veteran organisations across Hampshire, as well
10 as internet communities, such as the ARmy Rumour SErvice (ARRSE). In total, 10 veterans (9 male
11 and one female) were involved in the consultation with one just below 55 years of age (Range = 54 –
12 78; $M = 66$). Length of service ranged from four and a half years to 38 years ($M = 20.6$ years), and
13 all services were represented, with six veterans having served in the Royal Navy, two in the British
14 Army, one in the Royal Air Force (RAF), and one in both the Royal Air Force and British Army. All
15 provided valid informed consent and the study had received favourable opinion from the Science
16 Faculty Ethics Committee.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 The consultation comprised a number of elements including a questionnaire, which was used
30 as way to introduce the topic and stimulate thinking, and a series of focus group discussions. The
31 first round of focus group discussions focused predominantly on perceptions, suitability, and
32 feasibility of peer support for older veterans. The veterans were split into two groups, with two
33 facilitators per group. A fifth team member moved between groups to get a sense of differences and
34 similarities between groups. A semi-structured focus group schedule was used and all discussions
35 were audio recorded and later transcribed. After the focus group discussions, the whole group
36 reconvened and the facilitators fed back the main points from the focus group discussion, and all
37 attendees were invited to comment. The second focus group discussion took place, with the two
38 groups focusing on a different topic area. The first group discussed the role of the peer supporter,
39 delivery (e.g. online or in person), format of the service (e.g. one-to-one or group), as well as issues
40 around length of engagement and peer supporter training. The second group discussed essential
41 characteristics of peer supporters, as well as the types of support provided to those using the service
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 and also those providing the service. A large group discussion then took place, allowing all
4 attendees to comment on all the points raised. After a note of thanks, the consultation was brought to
5 a close.
6
7

8 9 **Results**

10 The group discussions were analysed using inductive thematic analysis (Joffe and Yardley, 2004) at
11 both the manifest and latent levels, and managed through NVivo 10. Descriptive statistics were
12 carried out on the questionnaire data, with responses to the question items summarised as medians,
13 and are presented alongside the findings of the thematic analysis to add context. Three themes
14 emerged from the focus group discussions. These were; *perceptions of peer support, developing a*
15 *peer support service, and transition versus later life wellbeing.*
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 *Perceptions of Peer Support*

26
27 Some participants felt strongly that peer support specifically for veterans by veterans is essential,
28 because being part of the Armed Forces contributed to a sense of identity that was difficult to leave
29 behind post service:
30
31
32

33
34 *I think the trouble is that once you kick off into the armed services, whether*
35 *you like it or not it becomes engrained in you... (participant 1)*
36

37 As such, there was a great need for veterans to support veterans due to the power of common ground,
38 shared identity, and mutual understanding:
39
40
41

42 *So I think myself that if you have a befriender service, I mean I know myself*
43 *that if I had particular problems, if I rung up and I got an ex-matelot or an*
44 *ex-marine or somebody to come and see me I think I'd probably be quite*
45 *pleased about it because I'd think, well, okay, this person has been through*
46 *the service like I have, they'll think like me and they'll understand like me;*
47 *so I think it is important to have a link... (participant 1)*
48

49 Also of importance were themes around trust and understanding. There was recognition that a
50 veteran's needs may be no different to a civilian of the same age, but that with shared experiences
51 comes understanding and a willingness to open up:
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 *We only tend to talk amongst our own peers, we don't talk about our*
4 *circumstances, our problems, with outsiders, because they don't understand*
5 *(participant 2)*
6

7 Not all stakeholders felt this way, however. A minority felt that there should be a conscious effort to
8
9 leave behind a veteran identity. Implicit in this idea is that peer support should support transition:
10

11 *They're not gonna be veterans, hopefully, for the rest of their lives, are they,*
12 *you know, eventually they're gonna become civilians (participant 3)*
13
14

15 Organised peer support was also seen to be important in the context of geographical
16
17 dispersion of veterans, absence of community, and subsequent absence of informal support.
18
19 According to following quote, the potential practical support provided by existing organisations can
20
21 be confusing and the informal social support can be exclusive. The result is an absence of the often
22
23 assumed informal support provided by comrades:
24
25

26 *I think first of all most [organisations] will say, well, okay, what sort of help*
27 *do you need, and they've said, well, you know, a bit of financial; they'll slap*
28 *a form down and the chap will look through the thing and be, no, no, no,*
29 *forget it, you know, that's just far too complex; well, okay, how can we help,*
30 *well, I'd like to mix with some of the...okay, come down to our local social*
31 *club. So you go down the local social club and there's a few people down*
32 *there, they're a bit cliquey, another ex-serviceman comes in the door and*
33 *perhaps because he's not part of the regular drinking group he'll stay there*
34 *two or three nights and then he'll say enough is enough (participant 1)*
35
36

37 While peer support was seen as being important, barriers were also discussed, such as the
38
39 large number of organisations already providing support for formerly serving personnel:
40
41

42 *And there's so many different groups, and they can all provide different*
43 *things, and there's no one size to fit all, and it's knowing where to go for the*
44 *particular fit (participant 4)*
45

46 Associated with this, there were concerns about establishing more organisations in an already
47
48 flooded market place. As one veteran said: *[saying] well, let's add another one to the mix is*
49
50 *necessarily the smart thing to do' (participant 5)*. Despite this, others felt that there was a place for
51
52 peer support:
53
54

55 *It's a brilliant concept, as long as it doesn't actually parallel or copy what's*
56 *already available. It must enhance it, it must be an add-on, it must enhance*
57 *what's already available (participant 4)*
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 Another barrier was issues around stigma, particularly for older veterans for whom avoidance was
5
6 seen as a natural coping strategy:
7

8 *The problem with that group that you specified is actually getting them to*
9 *say, well, I've got a problem and actually saying I need to do something*
10 *about it. Because going back to what I used to in SSAFA (Soldiers, Sailors,*
11 *Airmen, and Families Association) earlier in my volunteering, they don't*
12 *talk about these things, they just don't talk about it (participant 6)*
13
14
15

16 While peer support was seen as appropriate, veterans raised important boundaries. These
17
18 centred on what peer support should not be. Very strongly the message was that it should not attempt
19
20 to be a formal treatment for mental health problems:
21

22 *I was aware of the fact that I was ill and I needed professional help. I didn't*
23 *need to sit down with [a peer], I needed to sit down with a professional and*
24 *get professional help, which I did and that all worked fine. But sitting, you*
25 *know, chatting it through with somebody who could conceivably have done*
26 *more damage is not what I needed (participant 5)*
27
28

29 *Developing the Service*

30
31 Themes centred on the aim of the service, content of the service, and concerns around governance
32
33 and best practice. In particular, discussions focused on understanding the type of contact between
34
35 veteran and peer in terms of its nature, format, frequency, and duration. In discussions concerning the
36
37 nature of contact, emotional support and signposting were the most prevalent themes. The following
38
39 quote captures the complementary nature of emotional support and signposting, but also highlighted
40
41 the informal, personable, nature of peer support:
42
43

44 *[it's a bit like the] discussion you have with your mate down the pub, you know,*
45 *at one level, just being able to talk through the strains and say I've been having*
46 *a really crap week this has been happening. I think because people don't have*
47 *anybody else to talk to it's a confidante...but out of that conversation might*
48 *come things like well actually you really need to go and see the housing people*
49 *or British legion can probably help you if this is an issue or have you not been to*
50 *SSAFA? (participant 7)*
51
52
53

54 Peer support was also seen as a way of preventing more complex problems developing, in a sense
55
56 'before the problem starts' (participant 7). The veterans also discussed the format of peer support in
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 terms of whether support should be offered face to face, remotely, in groups or one on one. Although
4
5 one to one support proved slightly more popular than group support, there was an acknowledgement
6
7 that a range of formats would be required:
8
9

10
11 *Well you need to be able to offer all of it because some people are not group*
12 *people but they need to know it's there if they want it (participant 4)*
13

14 Very practically, participant four also highlighted that the format and provision of the service would
15
16 'depend upon resources'. An advantage of group meetings was that group activities could also be
17
18 included to promote further communication and peer support:
19

20
21 *Some people might like to do groups because we haven't really talked about*
22 *will they want to have some sort of small group things as well just to discuss*
23 *experiences...(participant 7)*
24

25 Whether as part of a group or one to one, all stakeholders were clear that support should be provided
26
27 face to face, and that remote support using the telephone or internet was not suitable for this group.
28

29 In terms of frequency and duration of contact, stakeholders felt that contact should be
30
31 provided on a needs basis, and their main concern was about careful management of the duration of
32
33 the relationship to avoid creating dependency. Speaking from experience of his professional role
34
35 supporting veterans, participant eight suggested:
36
37

38
39 *I've seen [people] that [sic] have had really long-term support for a number*
40 *of years; but the majority it's months. And it could be two months, it could*
41 *be six, nine months (participant 8)*
42

43 To tackle the issue of dependency, another stakeholder spoke of the befriending service his
44
45 organisation provides, which carefully manages the frequency and duration of support:
46

47
48 *Have just six visits by one of our volunteers to that person, because*
49 *anything more than that and they tend to become reliant upon that one*
50 *person; so we do a routine where the person, the volunteer, arranges to go*
51 *on six times, and then we'll get another one of the befrienders to go in and*
52 *do another six (participant 9)*
53

54 In developing the service, veterans also spoke of the importance of personalising the service
55
56 to suit the needs of the person requesting support. Three subthemes emerged; these were *tailoring*,
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 *matching, and opportunity to involve family members.* There was a clear indication that needs will
4
5 vary, and the service would need to be tailored to be as effective as possible:
6

7 *Each person's circumstances is individual and it needs to be tailor made to*
8 *the specific needs of that person; you have to identify (participant 10)*
9

10 *Matching* related to the how to place peers and service users together to best create supportive
11 relationships. In this context, rank was seen as being unimportant, while having similar service
12 experience, particularly experience of the same branch of the services, seemed fundamental:
13
14

15 *Speaking with somebody who's ex-Navy would be easier, because a lot of*
16 *the slang that you use is the same. But talking to, some of the things that my*
17 *brother-in-law speaks about goes straight over. I just can't identify with, I*
18 *don't have that with...and that is some of the terms he uses. And you know,*
19 *they're foreign to me (participant 10)*
20
21
22
23

24 The veterans also emphasised the importance of governance and best practice. This included
25 the selection of volunteers, contracts or *ground rules* (participant 7), confidentiality, safety, training,
26 monitoring of peer support pairs or groups, and support for the volunteers themselves. These
27 concerns lead to the conclusion that a role must be created to support the service, such as a
28 coordinator, to provide *light touch* (participant 1) governance:
29
30
31
32
33

34 *So does that need something or someone to be able to, sort of, monitor the*
35 *situation, some means or methods, without being intrusive (participant 1)*
36
37

38 The selection of the volunteer was also seen as a critical aspect of a coordinator's role to '*suss out the*
39 *motives* (participant 4) and to ensure that only the most suitable candidate offer help to others:
40
41

42 *the last thing that I want is some bloody do-gooder coming round and this*
43 *sort of thing. But that doesn't mean to say I'm not prepared to accept help*
44 *from people. But there are some people who want to come and take over.*
45 *And so I think possibly there needs to be a little bit of checking on*
46 *suitability, you know... (participant 10)*
47
48

49 Another role for the coordinator would be to support the volunteers was important, not only to
50 support them in what may be emotionally burdensome peer matches, but also to support working
51 within the boundaries of practice:
52
53
54

55 *Yeah, I think that's really important because actually you may hear things*
56 *or you may...you don't necessarily want to break any confidence issues but*
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 *you may hear things and say what would I do with that situation if*
4 *somebody was admitting to, I don't know, illegal drug abuse or battering*
5 *their wife or all sorts...it could be almost anything or it could be that they've*
6 *had an accident and they haven't done anything about it. Where do my*
7 *boundaries lie? (participant 7)*
8

9
10 Given the need for a coordinator, veterans spontaneously discussed the types of organisations
11 that could host a peer support service, and provide much needed infrastructure. There was the
12 suggestion of established veteran organisations such as The Royal British Legion who could provide
13 a 'sort of a foundation that this sort of thing could be built on' (participant 10). Others cautioned
14 around the 'suspicion of officialdom' (participant 4). An alternative was suggested in which peers
15 could be suitably matched, but the organisation itself need not be a *veteran organisation*:
16
17
18
19
20
21

22
23 *If things like the befriending scheme that you're [participant 9 is] involved*
24 *in – and it sounds to me like a brilliant idea – are in place, they have a*
25 *universal appeal whether you're ex-service, whether you're not ex-service,*
26 *whether you're disabled or if you're not disabled, and you have those*
27 *requirements, that kind of scheme meets that; so therefore those sort of*
28 *services are not required specifically for the ex-service community*
29 *(participant 5)*
30

31 *Transition versus Wellbeing*

32
33 Within one group, tensions arose through the consultation day about the need for a veteran specific
34 peer support service. Moreover, in all group discussion there was an absence of focus on the deeper
35 issues of peer support for older veterans or, indeed, issues around practicalities for supporting older
36 veterans, such as accessibility of services. Subsequent interrogation of the data revealed assumptions
37 had been made throughout the day, which influenced the discussions. Two competing themes
38 emerged from the data: transitions vs. wellbeing.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 A number of the veterans' discussions focused on the purpose of peer support 'to
48 *decommission and individualise veterans*' (participant 4). For others, the latent theme of transition
49 emerged in talk about the needs of younger veterans:
50
51
52

53
54 *It's different from 20, 30 years ago, you're dealing with hardened*
55 *individuals now; they need a different type of service, now they need, some*
56 *of them – not all of them, again – some of them just need a bit of hand*
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 *holding just to integrate, literally integrate, back into society; because*
4 *they've been in the hard end for years some of them (participant 6)*
5

6 Indeed, different types of need were discussed for those now leaving the service, rather than the
7
8 needs of veterans as they reach later life:
9

10
11 *My thoughts on...given some thought was there are two types of people,*
12 *ones that are leaving at the end of their period which would have been*
13 *planned in their contract and others that are leaving possibly unexpected*
14 *(participant 6)*
15

16 These issues, so at the forefront of some of the stakeholders' minds, perhaps explain why some felt
17
18 the research programme had not identified a real need or 'gap in the market' (participant 5), and
19
20 concerns around an already flooded market place. Despite this, the needs of older veterans were a
21
22 priority for other stakeholders, who spoke without prompting about issues of loneliness and isolation
23
24 in later life:
25
26

27
28 *One of the things I'm reading in the papers now, that one of the greatest*
29 *problems amongst elderly people is loneliness; and I think we've got to start*
30 *thinking about loneliness, especially amongst ex-service personnel. And I*
31 *think basically what we've got to look at, I don't think it's a case of pouring*
32 *money on there, I don't think it's a case of providing clubs because I don't*
33 *think they always work; I think it's somehow trying to find a sort of service*
34 *that can have volunteers who can spend time to perhaps once a week either*
35 *lift the telephone and have an hour's chat with an ex buddy or something, or*
36 *somebody who's prepared to visit an ex-serviceman who's struggling a bit,*
37 *do a little bit of shopping for him, something like that (participant 1)*
38

39 This implicit focus on younger veterans by the participants is perhaps also mirrored by the current
40
41 focus of research and policy, particularly in the UK.
42
43

44 **Discussion**

45
46 According to the literature, military service appears to have an impact on veterans' wellbeing in later
47
48 life. When considered alongside gerontological theory concerning the role of reminiscence and life
49
50 review in maintaining identity and, in turn, wellbeing, there is a role for the provision of support
51
52 where naturally occurring social support is absent or unsuitable. The current study explored the
53
54 suitability and acceptability of peer support interventions for older veterans. Peer support was seen as
55
56 a suitable intervention to provide informal but effective service provision, particularly in the context
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 of addressing issues around loneliness and isolation in later life. This issue is of current concern for
4
5 older adults generally, but may be exacerbated for older veterans.
6

7
8 The exploration of current service provision and evidence highlighted an absence of peer
9
10 support for older veterans in both practice and theory. Much of the research focused on younger
11
12 veterans or acute mental health issues. While results of the consultation demonstrated the feasibility
13
14 and suitability of peer support for the old-old and oldest old veterans in reducing isolation, concerns
15
16 were raised around duplication of services. Further exploration of the findings indicated that there
17
18 may have been two areas of concern for the veterans involved in this study: peer support for
19
20 transition in earlier life and peer support for wellbeing in later life. While some of the attendees were
21
22 concerned with the latter, others were concerned with the former, which may have affected the
23
24 findings. Interestingly, this parallels the current concern of research and policy with a focus on the
25
26 transition of younger veterans (Forces in Mind Trust, 2013; Kelly, Howe-Barksdale and Gitelson,
27
28 2011), while the needs of older veterans arguably remain unaddressed or even unacknowledged
29
30 (Settersen and Patterson, 2006; Spiro and Settersen, 2012).
31
32
33

34
35 The veterans cautioned against peers providing formal mental health interventions, which
36
37 was in contrast to the focus of the research reviewed as part of the scoping exercise. It is, however, in
38
39 line with the theory concerning peer support (Dennis, 2003), lessons from research with other
40
41 populations (e.g. carers; Burnell *et al.*, 2015), as well as the general purpose of befriending
42
43 interventions (Cattan, White, Bond and Learmouth, 2005), which is to provide low intensity support
44
45 and signposting. It also highlights the importance of formal training and supervision for peers.
46

47
48 The elements of peer support highlighted by the veterans during the consultation were in-
49
50 keeping with much of the literature concerning peer support used in other settings. That is, the role of
51
52 peer support to serve as providing a listening ear and the potential effectiveness of peer support due
53
54 to shared experiences. In addition, it is important to note that, while older veterans may benefit from
55
56 support provided by others who have served, the format of peer support proposed here are coherent
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 with the content, format, and infrastructure of befriending schemes that exist across sectors. The
4 suggestion in this study to build peer support into social group activities may also be a fruitful option
5 moving forward (Cattan *et al.*, 2005).
6
7
8

9
10 The implication here is that existing befriending services could be adapted to become peer
11 support services, simply by matching veterans with veterans. This was echoed by further consultation
12 with service providers from local services, who acknowledged that awareness of the needs of older
13 veterans should be made more explicit to service providers of older adult services across sectors.
14 This would create sustainable services moving forward against a back drop of a decreasing older
15 veteran population, but which recognise the potential impact of service experience in later life. An
16 additional advantage is that, to access these services, one would not have identify oneself as a
17 *veteran*, which is a possible barrier to service uptake. It is preferable that existing services in trusted
18 organisations are adapted rather than establishing new initiatives or organisations.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29
30 It might be argued that the veterans who attended the consultation fitted the profile of service
31 provider more than service user, and were involved in services implicitly linked to aiding transition.
32 The veterans who spoke of issues around loneliness and isolation were involved in befriending
33 services, which might have given them a different frame of reference. Of course, interventions can
34 and should be put in place to support younger veterans as they transition to civilian life. Indeed, if we
35 take a life course perspective (George, 1996), transitioning successfully in earlier life may alleviate
36 some of the difficulties in reconciling change and maintaining identity into later life. While there is a
37 pressing need for earlier life reconciliation, it cannot be that all veterans will get such timely
38 intervention. The literature to date suggests numerous reasons as to why this may not occur for
39 younger veterans, and so the logical conclusion is that need will present in later life. In addition,
40 current transition programmes capture those who are currently leaving the military, leaving cohorts
41 who did not have these same opportunities. Consequently, there may be generations of veterans who
42 may require support in later life, particularly when the natural activities of life review and reminisce
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 trigger this search for meaning. Engagement with a group more generally is associated with more
4
5 healthy cognitive functioning in older adults and, consistent with the present findings, this can be
6
7 facilitated by a sense of shared identity (Haslam, Cruwys and Haslam, 2014). It is important to
8
9 increase the clarity concerning processes and practicalities around the target service users and to
10
11 engage this population to a greater extent through further development and evaluation of peer
12
13 support services.
14
15

16
17 As Settersten argued in 2006 and later in 2012, as a research community we need to focus
18
19 more on acknowledging and understanding the impact of service experience in later life. The impact
20
21 of this would be seen in the development of much needed services for older veterans, who seem to be
22
23 a forgotten cohort, even within the formerly serving community.
24
25

26 **References**

27
28 Allen, J. (2008), *Older people and wellbeing*. London: Institute for Public Policy Research.

29
30 Retrieved

31
32 from:[http://www.ippr.org/files/images/media/files/publication/2011/05/older_people_and_well](http://www.ippr.org/files/images/media/files/publication/2011/05/older_people_and_wellbeing_1651.pdf?noredirect=1)
33
34 [being_1651.pdf?noredirect=1](http://www.ippr.org/files/images/media/files/publication/2011/05/older_people_and_wellbeing_1651.pdf?noredirect=1)
35
36

37
38 Baltes, M. M. and Lang, F. R. (1997), "Everyday functioning and successful aging: The impact of
39
40 resources", *Psychology and Aging*, Vol. 12, pp. 433-443. doi:10.1037/0882-7974.12.3.433
41
42

43
44 Barber, J. A., Rosenheck, R. A., Armstrong, M., and Resnick, S. G. (2008), "Monitoring the
45
46 dissemination of peer support in the VA Healthcare System", *Community Mental Health*
47
48 *Journal*, 44, pp. 433-441. doi: 10.1007/s10597-008-9146-7
49
50

51
52 Barron, D. S., Davies, S. P., & Wiggins, R. D. (2008). Social integration, a sense of belonging and
53
54 the Cenotaph Service: Old soldiers reminiscence about Remembrance. *Aging & Mental Health*,
55
56 12(4), 509-516. doi:10.1080/13607860802224628
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Bender, M. P. (1997), "Bitter harvest: The implications of continuing war-related stress on
4 reminiscence theory and practice", *Ageing and Society*, Vol. 17, pp. 337-348. Retrieved from
5 [http://journals.cambridge.org/action/displayAbstract?fromPage=online&aid=32853&fileId=S0](http://journals.cambridge.org/action/displayAbstract?fromPage=online&aid=32853&fileId=S0144686X9700648X)
6
7
8
9
10 144686X9700648X
- 11
12 Bird, K. (2015), "Peer Outdoor Support Therapy (POST) for Australian Contemporary Veterans: A
13 Review of the Literature", *Journal of Military and Veterans' Health*, Vol. 22, pp. 4-23.
14 Retrieved from: [http://jmvh.org/article/peer-outdoor-support-therapy-post-for-australian-](http://jmvh.org/article/peer-outdoor-support-therapy-post-for-australian-contemporary-veterans-a-review-of-the-literature/)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22 contemporary-veterans-a-review-of-the-literature/
- 23 Burnell, K. J., Coleman, P. G. and Hunt, N. (2006), "Falklands War veterans' perceptions of social
24 support and the reconciliation of traumatic memories", *Ageing & Mental Health*, Vol. 10, pp.
25 282-289. doi:10.1080/13607860500409385
- 26
27
28
29
30 Burnell, K. J., Coleman, P. G. and Hunt, N. (2010), "Coping with traumatic memories: Second
31 World War veterans' experiences of social support in relation to the narrative coherence of war
32 memories", *Ageing and Society*, Vol. 30, 57-78. doi:10.1017/S0144686X0999016X
- 33
34
35
36
37 Burnell, K. J., Selwood, A., Sullivan, T., Charlesworth, G. M., Poland, F. and Orrell, M. (2015),
38 "Involving service users in the development of the Support at Home: Interventions to Enhance
39 Life in Dementia Carer Supporter Programme for family carers of people with dementia",
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Health Expectations, Vol. 18, pp. 95-110. doi: 10.1111/hex.12012
- Butler, R. (1963), "The life review: An interpretation of reminiscences in the aged", *Psychiatry*, Vol.
26, pp. 65-76. doi:10.1521/00332747.1963.11023339
- Cattan, M., White, M., Bond, J. and Learmouth, A. (2005), "Preventing social isolation and
loneliness among older people: A systematic review of health promotion activities", *Ageing
and Society*, Vol. 25, 41-67. doi:10.1017/S0144686X04002594

1
2
3 Choi, N. G., DiNitto, D. M., & Marti, C. N. (2016). Social participation and self-rated health among
4 older male veterans and non-veterans. *Geriatrics & Gerontology International*, 16, 920-927.

5
6
7 doi: 10.1111/ggi.12577
8

9
10 Coleman, P. G. (1999), "Creating a life story: The task of reconciliation", *The Gerontologist*, Vol.
11 39, pp. 133-139. doi: 10.1093/geront/39.2.133
12

13
14
15 Cook, J. M. and O'Donnell, C. (2005), "Assessment and psychological treatment of Posttraumatic
16 Stress Disorder in older adults", *Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry and Neurology*, Vol. 18, pp.
17 61-71. doi: 10.1177/0891988705276052
18
19

20
21
22
23 Creamer, C. M., Varker, T., Bisson, J., Darte, K., Greenberg, N., Lau, W. *et al.* (2012), "Guidelines
24 for peer support in high-risk organizations: An international consensus study using the Delphi
25 Method", *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, Vol. 25, 134-141. doi:10.1002/jts.21685
26
27

28
29
30 Cronin, O. (2013), *Final evaluation of the Timebank Shoulder to Shoulder Project (London and*
31 *Birmingham)*. Retrieved from Orla Cronin Research / TimeBank:
32 <http://timebank.org.uk/sites/timebank.org.uk/files/S2S%20evaluation%20June%2025,%202013.pdf>
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 Davison, E. H., Pless, A. P., Gugliucci, M. R., King, L. A., King, D. W., Salgado, D. M., *et al.*
41 (2006), "Late-life emergence of early-life trauma: The phenomenon of late-onset stress
42 symptomatology among aging combat veterans", *Research on Aging*, Vol. 28, 84-114.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 Dennis, C. L. (2003), "Peer support within a health care context: A concept analysis". *International*
51 *Journal of Nursing Studies*, Vol. 40, pp. 321-332. doi:10.1016/S0020-7489(02)00092-5
52
53
54

55 Department of Health. (1999). *Saving Lives : Our Healthier Nation*, Stationery Office, London.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Department of Health. (2001), *National Service Framework for Older People*, Author, London.

4
5
6 Eisen, S. V., Schultz, M. R., Mueller, L. N., Degenhart, C., Clark, J. A., Resnick, S. G. *et al.* (2012),
7
8 "Outcome of a randomized study of a mental health peer education and support group in the
9
10 VA", *Psychiatric Services*, Vol. 63, pp. 1243-1246. . doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.201100348
11

12
13 Elder, G. H. and Clipp, E. C. (1989), "Combat experience and emotional health: Impairment and
14
15 resilience in later life", *Journal of Personality*, Vol. 57, pp. 311-341. doi:10.1111/j.1467-
16
17 6494.1989.tb00485.x
18

19
20 Erikson, E. H. (1994), *Identity and the Life Cycle*. (2nd ed.), W. W. Norton & Company, New York,
21
22 NY.
23

24
25
26 Floyd, M., Rice, J. and Black, S. R. (2002), "Recurrence of posttraumatic stress disorder in late life:
27
28 A cognitive aging perspective", *Journal of Clinical Geropsychology*, Vol. 8, pp. 303-311.
29
30 doi:10.1023/A:1019679307628
31

32
33
34 Forces in Mind Trust. (2013). *The Transition Mapping Study: Understanding the Transition Process*
35
36 *for Service Personnel Returning to Civilian Life*, Author, London.
37

38
39 George, L. K. (1996), "Missing links: the case for a social psychology of the lifecourse",
40
41 *Gerontologist*, Vol. 36, pp. 248-255. doi: 10.1093/geront/36.2.248
42

43
44 Greden, J. F., Valenstein, M., Spinner, J., Blow, A., Gorman, L. A., Dalack, G. W., *et al.* (2010),
45
46 "Buddy-to-Buddy, a citizen soldier peer support program to counteract stigma, PTSD,
47
48 depression, and suicide", *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, Vol. 1208, pp. 90-97.
49
50 doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05719.x
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Goldberg, R. W. and Resnick, S. G. (2010), "US Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) efforts to
4 promote psychosocial rehabilitation and recovery". *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*, Vol.
5 33, pp. 255–258. doi:10.2975/33.4.2010.255.258
6
7
8
9
10 Haslam, C., Cruwys, T. and Haslam, S.A. (2014), "'The we's have it': Evidence for the distinctive
11 benefits of group engagement in enhancing cognitive health in aging", *Social Science &*
12 *Medicine*, Vol. 120, pp. 57- 66. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2014.08.037
13
14
15
16
17
18 Hunt, N. and Robbins, I. (2001), "World War II veterans, social support, and veterans' associations",
19 *Ageing & Mental Health*, Vol. 5, pp. 175-182. doi:10.1080/13607860120038384
20
21
22
23 Isaacowitz, D. M., Smith, R. B. and Carstensen, L. L. (2003), "Socioemotional selectivity and mental
24 health among trauma survivors in old age". *Ageing International*, Vol. 28, pp. 181-199. doi:
25 10.1007/s12126-003-1023-7
26
27
28
29
30
31 Jain, S., McLean, C. and Rosen, C. S. (2012), "Is there a role for peer support delivered interventions
32 in the treatment of veterans with post-traumatic stress disorder?", *Military Medicine*, Vol. 177,
33 pp. 481-483. Retrieved from: [http://publications.amsus.org/doi/pdf/10.7205/MILMED-D-11-](http://publications.amsus.org/doi/pdf/10.7205/MILMED-D-11-00401)
34 [00401](http://publications.amsus.org/doi/pdf/10.7205/MILMED-D-11-00401)
35
36
37
38
39
40 Joffe, H. and Yardley, L. (2004), "Content and thematic analysis", Marks, D. and Yardley, F. (Eds.),
41 *Research Methods for Clinical and Health Psychology*, Sage, London, pp. 56-68.
42
43
44
45
46 Kelly, D.C., Howe-Barksdale, S. and Gitelson, D. (2011), *Treating Young Veterans. Promoting*
47 *Resilience through Practice and Advocacy*, Springer Publishing Company, New York, NY.
48
49
50
51 Litz, B. T., Steenkamp, M. M. and Nashy, W. P. (2014), "Resilience and recovery in the military", in
52 Zoellner, L. A. and Zoellner and Feeny, N. C. (Eds.), *Facilitating Resilience and Recovery*
53 *following Trauma*. Guildford Press, New York, NY.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Mental Health Foundation. (2013), *The Mental Health of Serving and Ex-Service personnel: A*
4
5 *Review of the Evidence and Perspectives of Key Stakeholders*, Authors, London.
6
7
- 8 Minogue, V. (2009), "Consultation", in Wallcraft, J., Schrank, B. and Amering, M. (Eds.),
9
10 *Handbook of Service User Involvement in Mental Health Research*, John Wiley & Son, West
11
12 Sussex, pp. 153-168.
13
14
- 15 Pitt, V., Lowe, D., Hill, S., Prictor, M., Hetrick, S. E., Ryan, R. and Berends L. (2013), "Consumer-
16
17 providers of care for adult clients of statutory mental health services", *Cochrane Database of*
18
19 *Systematic Reviews, Issue 3*. Art No.: CD004807. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004807.pub2.
20
21
22
- 23 Resnick, S.G. Armstrong, M., Sperrazza, M., Harkness, L. and Rosenheck, R.A. (2004), "A model of
24
25 consumer-provider partnership: Vet-to-Vet", *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*, Vol. 28, pp.
26
27 185-187. doi.org/10.2975/28.2004.185.187
28
29
- 30 Resnick, S. G. and Rosenheck, R. A. (2010), "Who attends Vet-to-Vet? Predictors of attendance in
31
32 mental health mutual support", *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*, Vol. 33, pp. 262.
33
34 doi:10.2975/33.4.2010.262.268
35
36
37
- 38 Rose, D. (2009), "Collaboration", in Wallcraft, J. Schrank, B. and Amering, M. (Eds.), *Handbook of*
39
40 *Service User Involvement in Mental Health Research*, John Wiley & Sons, West Sussex, pp.
41
42 169-180.
43
44
- 45 Settersten, R. A., Jr. and Patterson, R. S. (2006), "Military service, life course, and aging: An
46
47 introduction", *Research on Aging*, Vol. 28, pp. 5-11. doi:10.1177/0164027505281579
48
49
- 50 Sixsmith, J., Sixsmith, A., Callender, M. and Corr, S. (2014), "Wartime experiences and their
51
52 implications for the everyday lives of older people", *Ageing and Society*, Vol. 34, pp. 1457-
53
54 1481. doi:10.1017/S0144686X13000214
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Shaw, M. E. & Westwood, M. J. (2002). Transformation in life stories: The Canadian war veterans
4 life review project. In J. D. Webster & B. K. Height (Eds.), *Critical advances in reminiscence*
5 *work: From theory to application* (pp. 257-274). Springer Publishing Co: New York.
6
7

8
9
10 Spiro, A., III. and Settersten, R. A., Jr. (2012), "Long-term implications of military service for later-
11 life health and well-being". *Research in Human Development*, Vol. 9, pp. 183-190. doi:
12
13 10.1080/15427609.2012.705551
14
15

16
17
18 The Royal British Legion (2014), *A UK Household Survey of the Ex-service Community*. Retrieved
19 from: <https://www.britishlegion.org.uk/media/2275/2014householdsurveyreport.pdf>
20
21

22
23
24 Wong, P. T. and Watt, L. M. (1991), "What types of reminiscence are associated with successful
25 aging?" *Psychology and Aging*, Vol. 6, pp., 272-279. doi:10.1037/0882-7974.6.2.272
26
27

28
29 Yang, M. S. and Burr, J. A. (2015), "Combat exposure, social relationships, and subjective well-
30 being among middle-aged and older veterans", *Aging & Mental Health*,
31 doi:10.1080/13607863.2015.1033679
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60