

# Customer Lifetime Value: The Effect of Relational Benefits, Brand Experiences, Quality, Satisfaction, Trust, and Commitment in the Fast-Food Restaurants

Authors

**Ala' Omar Dandis, Mohammad Al Haj Eid, Denis Griffin, Robin Robin, Arnt Kyawt Ni**

## **Abstract**

**Purpose** - This study examines factors that affect customer lifetime value (CLV) in fast-food restaurants in Jordan. These factors are relational benefits, brand experiences, service quality, satisfaction, trust and commitment.

**Design/methodology/approach** – An online survey was collected from a sample of 503 respondents. The authors used SPSS to test the constructs' relationships and analyse the data. SmartPLS was used to test the hypotheses.

**Findings** – In contrast to previous studies, not all dimensions of brand experiences and relational benefits had a significant and positive influence on relationship marketing outcomes (satisfaction, trust and commitment). On the other hand, results demonstrated that service quality had a significant and positive influence on relationship marketing outcomes. Furthermore, research reveals that satisfaction, trust and commitment significantly and positively influenced CLV.

**Practical implications** – Those fast-food restaurants that seek to enhance CLV should build solid and sustainable bonds with their customers. This paper concludes by stating its implications, its limitations and the opportunities available for future research.

**Originality/value** – This study, which is unique in the Middle East, includes essential strategies for managing customer relationship that can be universally applied to improve customer benefits and maximise the performance of businesses.

**Keywords:** Brand Experiences, Service Quality, Customer Satisfaction, Relational Benefits and Trust, Customer Commitment, Customer Lifetime Value.

## 1. Introduction

1 All over the world, people enjoy going out to eat with family or friends. This growing trend in  
2 many cultures throughout the twentieth and twenty-first centuries has contributed to the growth  
3 of the restaurant industry (Salehzadeh et al., 2017; Ong et al., 2018). Significant transformations  
4 in the lifestyles of consumers, the growth of household income and the convenience of fast food  
5 have led to the fast food sector's rapid expansion across ethnic, national and geographic  
6 boundaries (Singh et al., 2021). As the demand for fast-food grows, so does competition within  
7 the sector, as fast-food restaurants (FFRs) depend on customer retention (Singh et al., 2021). This  
8 emphasises the significance of relationship marketing for FFRs if they aim to increase customer  
9 value (Dandis et al., 2022c). Relationship marketing is an ideal strategy for hospitality and  
10 tourism companies, such as FFRs, that plan to create lasting symbiotic relationships with  
11 customers (Dagger et al., 2011). Through this strategy, companies can improve customer-firm  
12 interaction by means of constructing solid relationships and transforming nonchalant customers  
13 into loyal ones (Dagger et al., 2011).

24 Customer lifetime value (CLV) is a vital aspect in relationship marketing and a major factor in  
25 assessing the making of marketing decisions (AboElHamd et al., 2021; Dahana et al., 2019;  
26 Kumar and Reinartz, 2016; Qi et al., 2012). CLV is recognised as being "the metric which  
27 guides the allocation of resources for ongoing marketing activities in a firm adopting a  
28 customer-centric approach" (Kumar, 2006, p. 608). To maximise return by using the  
29 CLV-based approach, businesses can design methods, such as optimal resource allocation,  
30 to determine the future products consumers will probably purchase (Kumar and Reinartz,  
31 2016) and businesses can reallocate the excess resources to other ventures to revive  
32 dormant customers or gain new customers (Kumar et al., 2008). Therefore, firms now realise  
33 that losing a customer is much more than just losing a single sale. Losing a customer signifies  
34 losing CLV (Kotler et al., 2020).

44 Moreover, businesses nowadays have been struggling with various humanitarian crises including  
45 the coronavirus pandemic, so the importance of adapting in order to tend to their customers and  
46 stakeholders is understandably increasing. This agenda is specifically challenging in a wide  
47 range of industries, such as insurance, banking and hospitality, which primarily depend on  
48 physical interaction with customers. The recent reduction in nonessential activities, the  
49 necessity to practice physical distancing and the limits on social contact have been deemed  
50 essential in safeguarding the health of communities (Mousavi et al., 2022; Apornak, 2019).  
51 Particularly in the service industry, there is a growing apprehension concerning how these  
52 types of business can continue to serve customers and satisfy their expectations.

1 Many recent studies (DeVita et al., 2021; Mousavi et al., 2022; Khalili-Damghani et al.,  
2 2022) have focused on the implications of key performance indicators of HSE during the  
3 pandemic within the hospital management system and have introduced an effective resource  
4 allocation model using equitable allocation of shared cost and common revenue  
5 approaches. This contemporary research assists in the strategic decision-making process for  
6 companies during this unexpected crisis. However, maintaining and creating CLV has, on the  
7 other hand, an important impact on the course of sustainable growth in the company. Thus, the  
8 present research was carried out to examine the factors that affect CLV in the fast food  
9 restaurant industry in the Middle East, where much research is required.

15 In order to contribute to relationship marketing theory, the main goal of this paper is to ascertain  
16 and research the variables that affect CLV in FFRs in Jordan. Past studies have investigated the  
17 roots of CLV and mainly focused on the influence of satisfaction (Dandis et al., 2022c) and  
18 customer commitment on customer relationship management (CRM) outcomes (Dagger et al.,  
19 2011). Customer commitment and satisfaction are both linked to service quality (Lee and Seong,  
20 2020). Brand experiences are essential in accomplishing business sustainability (Ong et al., 2018).  
21 According to previous studies, relational benefits are vital precursors of customer satisfaction and  
22 commitment (Gremler et al., 2020). Few empirical studies have been carried out within the  
23 hospitality industry context to investigate all of the above dimensions in one model. Hence, the  
24 following research topics are the focus of this study:

- 33 *1. What are the effects of relational benefits, service quality and brand experiences on customer*  
34 *satisfaction, trust and commitment?*
- 35 *2. What are the impacts of customer satisfaction, commitment and trust on CLV?*

39 **Therefore, the present study aims to make a contribution to the existing literature by**  
40 **investigating the following:**

- 43 **1.** Most research has mainly been carried out in the hospitality industries of developed countries  
44 (Cossío-Silva et al., 2019; Jun et al., 2017). Little research has specifically investigated the  
45 drivers of CLV, particularly in the fast-food industries of emerging markets (Dandis et al.,  
46 2022a and c). Thus, no other research provides an integrated study involving all these drivers in  
47 one framework. For instance, the research using a multi-countries approach by Jiang et al.,(2021)  
48 was conducted across nine countries from five continents of the world (except Antarctica and  
49 Africa), but still lacked a focus on the context of a country like Jordan. Thus, this paper  
50 develops a more comprehensive model of CLV to furnish policy makers, business owners and  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60


managers with pertinent recommendations intended to enhance relationship marketing strategies to entice and retain more customers.

2. For many businesses, the developing crisis led to unforeseen dramatic shifts in customer demand. As a result, many businesses in non-essential product or service sectors have been urgently seeking to reorient and respond to this change while at the same time maintaining efficient operations. Therefore, service organisations will need to adapt and practice flexibility amid the uncertainty experienced during the present public-health interventions and the resulting economic malaise. Our proposed integrated model can also be applied to other service-focused organisations in the industry. The results are also generalisable for exploring the effect of CLV and its components, such as the commitment, trust and satisfaction of customers with similar cultural backgrounds. In addition, this research answers the call for quantitative studies about effective management systems for service providers (DeVita et al., 2021; Amiresmaeili et al., 2022).
3. The recent pandemic has put businesses through rigorous strategic-resilience tests and, subsequently, the academic scholars have contributed their research under the agenda of social and economic development through strategic planning, decision making and efficient resource allocation (Mousavi et al., 2022; Khalili-Damghani et al., 2022). In other words, studies have focused on the organisational perspectives of creating resilience and values (Apornak, 2019) rather than the consumer perspectives of creating value and sustainable relationships for substantial business growth. This research focuses on the latter cause due to its vital role of increasing companies' competitive edge and fulfilling the customers' expectations for their business-model change or modification to persist over the long term.

## 2. Research Model and Hypotheses

In Figure 1, we present our research model.

**Figure 1: Research model**



## 2.1 Relationship Benefits

1 Relational benefits is a concept that has emerged from the rationale that both customers and  
2 service providers gain from a relationship developed over a lengthy period of time (Kim and Ok,  
3 2009; Hennig-Thurau et al., 2002). Relationship benefits consist of special treatment, confidence  
4 and social benefits (Hennig-Thurau et al., 2002). In this study, these three forms of relationship  
5 benefits influence customer satisfaction, trust and commitment.  
6  
7  
8  
9

### 2.1.1 Confidence Benefits, Customer Satisfaction, Commitment and Trust

10  
11  
12  
13 Scholars indicate that confidence benefits are positively related to a customer's satisfaction,  
14 commitment and trust about relationships with service provider staff. For example, Dandis et al.  
15 (2022c) concluded that confidence benefits positively and significantly influenced customer  
16 commitment and satisfaction. Fatikhaturrohmah et al. (2020) realised that confidence benefits  
17 significantly impacted trust and customer satisfaction. In the long run, the trust of customers is  
18 enhanced by confidence benefits (Chou and Chen, 2018). Prior research has also indicated the  
19 positive impact that confidence benefits have on customer satisfaction (Fatima et al., 2016;  
20 Dagger and Brien, 2010) and on commitment (Chou and Chen, 2018; Fatima et al., 2016; Wong  
21 et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2017). In addition, Dandis et al. (2022a) observed that confidence benefits  
22 positively impacted outcome relationship marketing in FFRs, such as the willingness to pay more  
23 and repurchase intentions. Moreover, Kim and Ok (2009) observed in the hospitality industry that  
24 affective commitment is impacted both directly and indirectly by confidence benefits.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

34 *H1: Confidence benefits are positively related to satisfaction.*

35  
36 *H2: Confidence benefits are positively related to commitment.*

37  
38 *H3: Confidence benefits are positively related to trust.*  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

### ***2.1.2 Social Benefits, Customer Satisfaction, Commitment and Trust***

1 Earlier literature has noted the significance of the social side of relationships that exist between  
2 service provider staff and customers (Goodwin and Gremler 1996). When a service provider  
3 builds a relationship with a specific customer, social benefits can be obtained (Gwinner et al.,  
4 1998). Hennig-Thurau et al. (2002) found that social benefits, confidence benefits, customer  
5 commitment and customer satisfaction significantly impact relationship marketing outcomes  
6 among service providers. In addition, Chou and Chen (2018) observed that social benefits  
7 impacted commitment, trust and gratitude in diverse service provider settings. Moreover,  
8 Fatikhaturrohmah et al. (2020) realised that customer satisfaction and trust are impacted  
9 significantly by social benefits. Kim and Ok (2009) also ascertained that social benefits directly  
10 impacted affective commitment in the hospitality and tourism industry.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

19 *H4: Social benefits are positively related to satisfaction.*

20 *H5: Social benefits are positively related to commitment.*

21 *H6: Social benefits are positively related to trust.*

### ***2.1.3 Special Treatment Benefits, Customer Satisfaction, Commitment and Trust***

22  
23  
24  
25  
26 Kim and Ok (2009) found that special treatment benefits, which inspire sensations of comfort and  
27 importance among customers, are related to customisation and economic benefits for customers.  
28 These benefits are characterised by speedier service, price breaks or additional individualised  
29 services (Hennig-Thurau et al., 2002). Dagger and Brien (2010) found that special treatment  
30 benefits had a positive impact on perceptions of commitment, satisfaction and trust. Chou and  
31 Chen (2018) agreed that these gains had a positive impact on commitment. Dandis et al. (2022c)  
32 also observed that special treatment benefits had a significant positive impact on customer  
33 commitment and satisfaction. Moreover, Fatikhaturrohmah et al. (2020) indicated that these  
34 benefits had a significant influence on the trust and satisfaction of customers. Kim and Ok (2009)  
35 also reported that benefits of this nature had a direct and indirect impact on affective commitment  
36 in the hospitality industry.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

47 *H7: Special treatment benefits are positively related to satisfaction.*

48 *H8: Special treatment benefits are positively related to commitment.*

49 *H9: Special treatment benefits are positively linked to trust.*

## 2.2 Brand Experience

1 Brakus et al. (2009) defined brand experience to be a multi-dimensional construct consisting of  
2 affective, behavioural, cognitive and sensory dimensions. Brand experiences are activated by  
3 exposure to brand-related stimuli and relies on the customer's long-term memory. Therefore, the  
4 following section aims to investigate the relationships that exist between each dimension of brand  
5 experience and the outcomes of CRM.  
6  
7  
8  
9

### 2.2.1 Affective Experience, Customer Satisfaction, Commitment, and Trust

10  
11  
12  
13 Affective experience (feel) alludes to the experience of the consumer that arises from an  
14 emotional encounter with the brand (i.e., animation) (Ong et al., 2018). Sina and Kim (2019)  
15 observe that emotional brand experiences perform an essential part in raising the affective  
16 commitment of clients. Han et al., (2009) are of the view that affective experience is the principal  
17 factor in explaining the post-purchase decision-making process and behaviour of customers in the  
18 hospitality industry (cited by Han et al., 2020). Wang et al. (2020) found brand experience  
19 generally and affective experience had a positive influence on satisfaction. Jung and Soo (2012)  
20 also found that brand commitment and trust were positively impacted by an affective brand  
21 experience. Among the brand experience dimensions, affective experience had a positive impact  
22 on brand trust (Jung and Soo, 2012), and in other research, it had the highest along with the  
23 intellectual dimension (Yu and Yuan, 2019).  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

32 *H10: Affective experience is positively related to satisfaction.*

33  
34 *H11: Affective experience is positively related to commitment.*

35  
36 *H12: Affective experience is positively related to trust.*  
37  
38  
39

### 2.2.2 Intellectual Experience, Customer Satisfaction, Commitment, and Trust

40  
41 Intellectual (cognitive) experience alludes to the experience of the consumer that arises from an  
42 intellectual encounter with the brand (i.e., inquisitiveness) (Ong et al., 2018). Cognition is a key  
43 factor in achieving a desired brand experience through associations, memories and interpretations  
44 that can endow products with allegorical importance, expressive features and personality (Al  
45 Mandil, 2016). Wang et al. (2020) indicated that intellectual experience had a positive direct and  
46 indirect impact on satisfaction. In addition, a differentiated brand experience will uplift  
47 commitment to a brand and decrease the probability that consumers will change to a different  
48 brand (Seddon and Sant, 2007; Ramaseshan and Stein, 2014; Chaudhuri and Holbrook, 2001;  
49 Brakus et al., 2009; Zarantonello and Schmitt, 2010). The intellectual experience was found to  
50 have a higher impact on brand trust than behavioural or sensory experience (Yu & Yuan, 2019).  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1 Similarly, Dandis et al. (2022a) ascertained that intellectual experiences had a positive impact on  
2 outcome relationships in FFRs. Consequently, customers who are cognitively stimulated during  
3 their dining experience are more likely to be satisfied, consider such experiences worth visiting  
4 again and trust this FFR brand.  
5

6 *H13: Intellectual experience is positively related to satisfaction.*  
7

8 *H14: Intellectual experience is positively related to commitment.*  
9

10 *H15: Intellectual experience is positively related to trust.*  
11  
12  
13  
14

### 15 **2.2.3 Sensory Experience, Customer Satisfaction, Commitment, and Trust**

16 Sensory experience alludes to the experience of a consumer that arises from a sensory encounter  
17 with the brand (i.e., using the five senses) (Ong et al., 2018). Sensory marketing permits the  
18 visualisation of intangible services and this aids in promoting brand experiences (Lee et al., 2018).  
19 Han et al., (2020) revealed that affective and sensory experiences among the four first-order  
20 dimensions were the biggest influences on the brand experience of Starbucks' customers. Barnes  
21 et al. (2014) also suggest that of the four dimensions of brand experience, it is the sensory  
22 dimension that performs the essential role in determining the potential customers' outcome  
23 variables, such as their intentions to recommend and to visit again; and their general level of  
24 satisfaction (cited by Han et al., 2020). Similarly, the satisfaction of customers in a restaurant is  
25 impacted by the smells, tastes, music, visual aesthetics and comfort (Han & Ryu, 2009; Jin et al.,  
26 2012, cited by Ong et al., 2018). Carrizo Moreira et al. (2017) found that brand experiences can  
27 be leveraged to raise the perceptions of quality and trust.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

38 *H16: Sensory experience is positively related to satisfaction.*  
39

40 *H17: Sensory experience is positively related to commitment.*  
41

42 *H18: Sensory experience is positively related to trust.*  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

### **2.2.4 Behavioural Experience, Customer Satisfaction, Commitment, and Trust**

Behavioural experience alludes to the experience a consumer has with a brand (Ong et al., 2018). According to Brakus et al. (2009), brand experience possesses a behavioural influence because, indirectly and directly via brand personality, brand experience impacts consumer loyalty and satisfaction. Behavioural experience was reported to create memorable moments that lead to higher satisfaction in the entertainment industry (Wang et al., 2020). In the hospitality industry, diners undergo a behavioural experience, such as engaging in part of the food preparation; or taking phone pictures of the ambience or the presentation of dishes (Ong et al., 2018). The behavioural dimension also had a positive impact on brand trust and brand commitment (Jung and Sool, 2012). Similarly, Dandis et al. (2022a) found that behavioural experiences had a positive impact on outcome relationships in FFRs. According to Yu & Yuan (2019), behavioural experience may impact trust through attachment, which in turn positively impacts brand trust.

*H19: Behavioral experience is positively related to satisfaction.*

*H20: Behavioral experience is positively related to commitment.*

*H21: Behavioral experience is positively related to trust.*

### **2.3 Service Quality, Customer Satisfaction, Commitment, and Trust**

According to (Kalargyrou et al., 2018), various studies indicate that service quality (SQ) is a vital antecedent of satisfaction and is often regarded to be a predictor of repeat patronage, giving rise to new clients and brand loyalty. In the hospitality industry, visible aspects, such as the architecture, decor, equipment and staff appearance, supply clients with vital cues for assessing the quality of services and for making purchase decisions (Kalargyrou et al., 2018; Zeithaml et al., 2018; Wirtz and Lovelock, 2016). Ali et al. (2021) found that most of the SQ dimensions had a positive association with customer satisfaction in the hospitality industry. In addition, Lee and Seong (2020) and Famiyeh et al. (2018) revealed that most of the enterprise SQ dimensions can have an impact on satisfaction and commitment and that these two variables in turn have a positive influence on performance (Lee and Seong, 2020). Dandis and Wright (2021) also found that SQ dimensions impact significantly upon behavioural loyalty. Joudeh and Dandis (2018) reported that well developed SQ should raise levels of customer satisfaction and this will increase loyalty. In addition, Chenet et al. (2010) recognised that SQ has a significant positive impact on trust.

*H22: SQ is positively related to satisfaction.*

*H23: SQ is positively related to commitment.*

*H24: SQ is positively related to trust.*

## 2.4 Satisfaction, Commitment, Trust, and Customer Lifetime Value

1 In the context of buyer-seller and service relationships, commitment, trust and satisfaction are the  
2 most typically singled-out dimensions of relationship quality (Lam and Wong, 2020; Brown et  
3 al., 2019). According to Radojevic et al. (2015), customer satisfaction is a commercial philosophy  
4 that emphasises the significance of building up customer value, forecasts and deals with customer  
5 expectations, and denotes the expertise and responsibility to satisfy customer wants and needs.  
6 Customer satisfaction bestows various benefits on companies, i.e., enhancing business reputation,  
7 markedly lowering the costs of marketing and producing client loyalty (Curtis et al., 2011). The  
8 significant impact of satisfaction in influencing customers' intention to remain with the  
9 organisation also implies the importance of maintaining their existing customers in generating  
10 higher lifetime value (Keramati et al., 2018). Amirreza et al. (2013) found support for customer  
11 satisfaction being an essential factor that contributes to success and competitive advantage in the  
12 hospitality industry. Dandis et al. (2021) reported that customer satisfaction can be considered a  
13 mediating variable between SQ and self-reported loyalty intentions. Consequently, we can  
14 conclude that in the hospitality industry, which is highly competitive and offers fairly uniform  
15 services, marketing managers have to be more accomplished in gratifying customers than their  
16 counterparts (Radojevic et al., 2015).

17 According to Morgan and Hunt (1994), trust and commitment are "key" because they motivate  
18 marketers to endeavour to preserve and build social capital by collaborating with stakeholders  
19 and to choose long-term rewards by remaining loyal to current partners rather than  
20 opting for attractive short-term benefits. An individual who is willing to rely upon and be  
21 exposed to another party in the face of uncertainty or risks is said to have a trusting  
22 relationship (McKnight et al., 2002 cited in Robin and Dandis, 2021). Moreover, trust and  
23 commitment directly contribute to participative behaviours that in turn lead to success in  
24 relationship marketing (Morgan and Hunt, 1994). Overall, to generate positive behaviours such  
25 satisfaction, loyalty or continuous purchase intention, organisations depend on a level of  
26 commitment and trust from their clients (Kumar and Pansari, 2016). Dandis et al., (2022c)  
27 found that commitment and satisfaction had a significant effect on customer life time value.

28 *H25: Satisfaction is positively related to CLV.*

29 *H26: Commitment is positively related to CLV.*

30 *H27: Trust is positively related to CLV.*

### 3. Methodology

In order to test the hypotheses, the authors collected an online survey that employed Google Forms, and that was distributed by e-mail and social media sites, such as Messenger and WhatsApp. The authors translated the questionnaire into Arabic language. Then, a pilot study was carried out that tested the questionnaire on 27 representative respondents. Following the pilot study, minor changes were implemented, and the data was collected between June 2021 and October 2021. The authors targeted the top 10 fast food chains (McDonald, KFC, Starbucks, Popeyes, Subway, Burger King, Pizza Hut, Hardee's, Domino's Pizza and Papa John's Pizza) in Jordan. Jordanian society is conservative and religious in nature (Mukattash et al., 2021)

When the interviewee chose an FFR, the rest of the questionnaire considered only that FFR. After four months, the authors received 503 completed questionnaires. The items in the survey instrument were measured utilising five-point Likert scales in order to improve the response rate and response quality (Buttle, 1996). Furthermore, numerous studies have used the five-point Likert scale (e.g., Al-Abdallah et al., 2022; Dandis and Al Haj Eid, 2022b; Joudeh et al., 2021; Dandis et al., 2022d; Al-Gasawneh et al., 2022; Hammouri et al., 2022; Dandis, 2016). Details of the items measured can be found in Table 2. This study used SPSS to test the constructs' relationships and analyse the data. SmartPLS was used to test the hypotheses.

## 4. Results

### 4.1 Descriptive Statistics

Table 1 exhibits the descriptive statistics related to our the sample. Of 503 respondents in this survey, 195 (38.8%) were males and 308 (61.2%) were females. The vast majority of the respondents (70.4%) had an undergraduate level of education, whereas a minority (14.9%) had a postgraduate degree. Of 503 respondents in this survey, 18 (3.6%) were younger than 18 years old, 291 (57.9%) were between 18-25 years old, 117 (23.3%) were between 26-35 years old, 62 (12.3%) were between 36-45 years old, 8 (1.6%) were between 46-55 years old, and 7 (1.3%) were over 55 years old. This indicates that the major of respondents mainly come from two age groups (i.e., the 18-25 and 26-35 age groups). Table 1 indicates that dominant category for monthly income ranged between 250 and 500 (36.6% of the sample) Jordanian Dinars, followed by less than 250 JD (31.8%) (500 JD = 705 USD). This indicates that the respondents might be sensitive to any variations in the perceived SQ and that this might influence their satisfaction and commitment over the long term. The majority of the respondents (69.6%) have been visiting the same FFR between one to three times a month and (22.3%) between four and six times. This indicates they are in an ideal position to judge and evaluate the FFRs and other related aspects.

**Table 1: Sample characteristics**

Factor	Item	Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	195	38.8
	Female	308	61.2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>503</b>	<b>100</b>
Education	High school or less	50	9.9
	College	24	4.8
	Undergraduate	354	70.4
	Postgraduate	75	14.9
	<b>Total</b>	<b>503</b>	<b>100</b>
Age	Less than 18	18	3.6
	18-25	291	57.9
	26-35	117	23.3
	36- 45	62	12.3
	46-55	8	1.6
	More than 55	7	1.3
	<b>Total</b>	<b>503</b>	<b>100</b>
Income (Month)	Less than 250	160	31.8
	250 - 500	184	36.6
	501- 750	49	9.7
	751-1000	42	8.3
	1001- 1250	20	4.0
	1251- 1500	16	3.2
	1501- 2000	14	2.8
	More than 2000	18	3.6
	<b>Total</b>	<b>503</b>	<b>100</b>
How frequently do you visit this FFR in a month?	1-3 times	350	69.6
	4 – 6 times	112	22.3
	7- 9 times	18	3.5
	10 or more times	23	4.6
	<b>Total</b>	<b>503</b>	<b>100</b>

## 4.2 Measurement Model

We conducted tests of normality to check for skewness and kurtosis. According to Chen (2012), an abnormal distribution is indicated by an absolute value of skewness higher than 3.0 or of kurtosis higher than 8.0. Table 2 reveals that the skewness and kurtosis values were within acceptable levels, indicating multivariate normality.

**Table 2: Skewness and kurtosis value of variables**

No.	Statement	Skewness	Kurtosis
<b>Confidence benefits ((Kim et al., 2011; Dagger and O'Brien, 2010)</b>			
1.	I am more confident that the services at this FFR will be carried out appropriately.	-.894	.688
2.	When I acquire the service at this FFR, I feel less anxious.	-.102	-1.124
3.	When I visit this FFR, I am aware of the service level.	-1.031	1.028
4.	I receive the finest level of service from the FFR.	-.672	.034
<b>Social benefits (Ryu and Lee, 2017; Kim et al., 2011)</b>			
5.	At the FFR, I am recognizable by a specific employee(s).	-.041	-1.192
6.	I am acquainted with the employee(s) that provide service at the FFR.	-.290	-.935
7.	I have a great relationship with the FFR staff.	-.028	-1.176
<b>Special treatment benefits (Ryu and Lee, 2017; Kim et al., 2011)</b>			
8.	I receive unique offers or discounts that the majority of customers do not.	.262	-1.139
9.	I receive lower prices than other customers.	.403	-1.106
10.	For me, the FFR provides services that they don't often provide for other customers.	.321	-1.154
<b>Sensory experience (Ong et al., 2018; Kumar et al., 2018 )</b>			
11.	This FFR brand has some interesting sensory qualities.	-.486	-.537
12.	My visual senses or even other senses are strongly positively impacted by this FFR brand.	-.412	-.642
13.	I'm pleased with how this FFR looks.	-.802	.341
<b>Affective experience (Ong et al., 2018; Kumar et al., 2018)</b>			
14.	This FFR brand elicits pleasant emotions.	-.436	-.541
15.	I feel quite good about this FFR brand.	-.422	-.575
16.	This FFR boosts my mood.	-.714	-.064
<b>Behavioral experience (Ong et al., 2018; Kumar et al., 2018)</b>			
17.	This FFR brand wants to make me aware of things I can do, like take pictures of food presentations.	-.566	-.540
18.	My lifestyle is reflected in this FFR brand.	-.069	-1.003
19.	I feel energised now that I've eaten at this FFR.	-.573	-.400
<b>Intellectual experience (Ong et al., 2018; Kumar et al., 2018)</b>			
20.	When I come across the FFR brand, I participate in a lot of optimistic thinking.	-.381	-.598
21.	This restaurant brand piques my curiosity.	-.318	-.710
22.	This FFR motivates me to think clearly.	-.236	-.972
<b>SQ (Gallarza-Granizo et al., 2020)</b>			
23.	The staff provides adequate attention to customers.	-.782	.154
24.	My needs are well considered by the staff.	-.811	.357
25.	The staff are knowledgeable.	-1.012	.919
26.	The staff are honest and trustworthy.	-.997	1.098
27.	This FFR's wait time is appropriate.	-.958	.686
<b>Satisfaction (Han and Ryu, 2009)</b>			
28.	Overall, I am satisfied with this FFR	-1.006	1.024
29.	I have really enjoyed myself at this FFR	-1.013	.845
30.	Overall feeling I get from this FFR puts me in a good mood	-.879	.597
<b>Trust (JIN et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2011)</b>			

31.	The cuisine at this FFR has dependable ingredients and is of high quality.	-.853	.401
32.	I have confidence in this FFR.	-.808	.520
33.	I think this FFR has high integrity.	-.656	-.066
<b>Commitment (Morgan and Hunt, 1994)</b>			
34.	I am completely devoted to this FFR.	-.512	-.430
35.	Definitely, I want to keep the relationship ongoing.	-.636	-.161
36.	I believe that this FFR merits some of my relationship-building efforts.	-.373	-.623
<b>CLV (Zeithaml et al., 1996; Ong et al., 2018; Gallarza-Granizo et al., 2020)</b>			
37.	Within the next few years, I wish to purchase additional goods from this FFR.	-.763	.111
38.	I would continue to choose this FFR even if some close friends suggested another one.	-.506	-.732
39.	Whenever I purchase the goods they offer, this FFR is my top pick.	-.607	-.410
40.	I am dedicated to the FFR brand.	-.465	-.545
41.	In comparison to other brands, I would be prepared to pay more for the FFR brand.	-.320	-.976
42.	Even if the costs went up a little, I would keep eating at this FFR brand.	-.411	-.777
43.	I endorse this FFR to others in a favourable manner.	-.669	-.108
44.	I suggest this FFR to anyone who wants my advice.	-.679	.012
45.	I recommend my friends and family have dinner at this FFR.	-.691	-.075

### 4.3 Factor analysis

As can be seen in Table 3, the range for all items of the factor loadings was 0.784 - 0.962, which indicates that the variable is heavily influenced by the factor (Malhotra et al., 2017). Only one item had a loading lower than 0.40 in this construct. Therefore, this item was determined to be a weak item in this factor. Item 2 had the lowest loading (0.224). The range of the KMO statistic value was between 0.670 and 0.947. This suggested that the factor analysis was appropriate (Malhotra et al. 2017). Table 3 presents the results of the factor analysis and the reliability tests.

**Table 3: Factor Analysis and Reliability Test**

Variable	No. of Item	Factor loadings	Eigenvalue	% of variance explained	KMO	Cronbach's Alpha
<b>Confidence benefits</b>	1	.854	2.272	56.808	.720	.831
	2	.224				
	3	.851				
	4	.886				
<b>Social benefits</b>	5	.932	2.519	83.953	.747	.904
	6	.904				
	7	.912				
<b>Special treatment</b>	8	.956	2.763	92.085	.778	.957
	9	.962				
	10	.960				
<b>Sensory</b>	11	.915	2.289	76.301	.670	.844
	12	.915				
	13	.784				
<b>Affective</b>	14	.921	2.425	80.839	.700	.881
	15	.933				
	16	.840				
<b>Behavioral</b>	17	.897	2.341	78.029	.734	.858
	18	.859				
	19	.876				
<b>Intellectual</b>	20	.883	2.409	80.315	.741	.877
	21	.901				
	22	.904				
<b>SQ</b>	23	.869	3.761	75.213	.863	.917
	24	.865				
	25	.907				
	26	.891				
	27	.800				
<b>Satisfaction</b>	28	.905	2.540	84.665	.734	.909
	29	.944				
	30	.911				
<b>Trust</b>	31	.926	2.540	84.657	.747	.909
	32	.934				
	33	.900				
<b>Commitment</b>	34	.922	2.486	82.851	.743	.894
	35	.919				
	36	.889				
<b>CLV</b>	37	.855	6.830	75.892	.947	.959
	38	.831				
	39	.894				
	40	.901				
	41	.841				
	42	.857				
	43	.888				
	44	.889				
	45	.881				



#### 4.4 Hypotheses testing

We employed structural equation modelling by means of SmartPLS to examine the path coefficients and the hypothesised relationships in the proposed model (see Table 4).

**Table 4: Summary of the results of hypotheses testing**

Hypothesis	Beta	Sig. value	Results
Confidence benefits → satisfaction	0.122	0.000	Supported
Social benefits → satisfaction	0.079	0.068	Not supported
Special treatment → satisfaction	-0.215	0.000	Supported
Sensory → satisfaction	-0.058	0.309	Not supported
Affective → satisfaction	0.186	0.007	Supported
Behavioral → c satisfaction	0.033	0.613	Not supported
Intellectual → satisfaction	0.09	0.108	Not supported
SQ → satisfaction	0.657	0.000	Supported
Confidence benefits → trust	0.225	0.000	Supported
Social benefits → trust	0.021	0.659	Not supported
Special treatment → trust	-0.084	0.058	Not supported
Sensory → trust	0.021	0.722	Not supported
Affective → trust	0.055	0.431	Not Supported
Behavioral → trust	0.024	0.723	Not Supported
Intellectual → trust	0.196	0.004	Supported
SQ → trust	0.497	0.000	Supported
Confidence benefits → commitment	0.058	0.180	Not supported
Social benefits → commitment	0.14	0.013	Supported
Special treatment → commitment	-0.039	0.412	Not supported
Sensory → commitment	-0.113	0.073	Not supported
Affective → commitment	0.192	0.016	Supported
Behavioral → commitment	0.12	0.08	Not supported
Intellectual → commitment	0.33	0.000	Supported
SQ → customer commitment	0.293	0.000	Supported
Satisfaction → CLV	0.098	0.047	Supported
Trust → CLV	0.139	0.022	Supported
Commitment → CLV	.718	0.000	Supported

#### 4. Conclusion and Discussion

1 The principal aim of this study is to investigate the principal drivers of CLV in FFRs in Jordan,  
2 namely relational benefits, SQ, brand experiences, satisfaction, commitment and trust.  
3

4  
5 The empirical evidence indicates that confidence and special treatment benefits each have a  
6 significant positive influence on customer satisfaction. Also, the empirical evidence indicates that  
7 confidence benefits impact customer trust, and social benefits impact customer commitment.  
8 These results support other empirical studies (Dandis et al. 2022c; Fatikhaturrohmah et al., 2020;  
9 Fatima and Mascio, 2020; Wong et al., 2019; Chou and Chen, 2018; Yang et al., 2017; Dagger  
10 and Brien, 2010; Kim and Ok, 2009) that find significant connections between relationship  
11 marketing outcomes and the above variables.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

17  
18 In contrast to the above results, we find an insignificant relationship between social benefits and  
19 satisfaction; between social benefits and trust, between special treatment benefits and trust;  
20 between special treatment benefits and commitment; and between confidence benefits and  
21 commitment. These findings are consistent with Yang et al. (2017), who find that special  
22 treatment benefits and commitment have an insignificant relationship. A possible explanation for  
23 this could be that customers in loyalty programs are more focused on saving income. Therefore,  
24 customer commitment built on value saving in loyalty programs is not sustainable over the long  
25 term. Consequently, if customers perceive that a competitor provides superior value, they are  
26 quickly enticed into defecting (Ong et al., 2018). Gremler et al. (2020) support the above  
27 assumption and argue for the prudent implementation of special treatment benefits to encourage  
28 commitment from customers. In fact, Dagger and Brien (2010) counsel that the most experienced  
29 consumers might continue to demand superior benefits. Thus, if a competitor reduces prices,  
30 special treatment benefits no longer remain attractive (Ong et al., 2018).  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

40  
41 Concerning brand experiences, this study relies on four dimensions: affective, intellectual,  
42 behavioural and sensory. The results of this research find affective and intellectual experiences  
43 have a significant impact on commitment and satisfaction; and intellectual experiences exert a  
44 significant effect on trust. These results support other empirical studies (Wang et al., 2020; Han  
45 et al. 2020; Yu & Yuan, 2019; Sina and Kim, 2019; Ong et al., 2018; Carrizo Moreira et al., 2017;  
46 Barnes et al., 2014; Jung and Soo, 2012; Brakus et al., 2009) that find a significant relationship  
47 between these variables and relationship marketing outcomes. This indicates that affective and  
48 intellectual experiences are vital for developing marketing strategies in FFRs and other service  
49 industries (Brakus et al., 2009). In contrast to the above results, we find an insignificant  
50 relationship between sensory and behavioural experiences, and customer satisfaction; and  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1 between sensory, affective and behavioural experiences and customer trust. However, sensory  
2 and behavioural experiences could be essential in developing a positive image of FFRs, but were  
3 not significantly associated with relationship marketing outcomes in the multivariate analysis. A  
4 possible explanation is that their customers might perceive the quality experiences of FFRs in  
5 Jordan as homogenous. As a result, sensory and behavioural experiences did not contribute to  
6 outcome relationship marketing. However, we can conclude that the effect of brand experiences  
7 on relationship marketing outcomes will vary between industries and cultures. These are  
8 unexpected results that require further additional studies.  
9  
10  
11  
12

13  
14 The findings of this study also reveal that SQ has a positive and significant impact on outcome  
15 relationship marketing (customer satisfaction, trust and commitment). These results confirm those  
16 from other empirical studies (Ali et al., 2021; Lee and Seong, 2020; Famiyeh et al., 2018; Chenet  
17 et al., 2010), which uncover a significant connection between SQ and outcome relationship  
18 marketing. Therefore, highly capable and skilful staff are vital for the efficiency and effectiveness  
19 of FFRs. FFRs have an obligation to guarantee that their staff are well prepared to answer the  
20 questions of customers and to provide clear explanations concerning the service of the FFR.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 Lastly, the empirical evidence revealed that trust, commitment and customer satisfaction exerted  
28 a significant and positive influence on CLV and that customer commitment was the strongest  
29 predictor. These results are compatible with other empirical studies (Dandis et al., 2022c;  
30 Amirreza et al., 2013; Morgan and Hunt, 1994). This indicates that those customers with a higher  
31 level of commitment to, satisfaction with and trust of FFRs, also have a greater likelihood of  
32 raising their CLV to FFRs. Therefore, FFRs are obliged to re-assess their present business  
33 practices if they aim to maintain their competitiveness in the modern global market.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## 5. Theoretical Implications

1 This paper includes various notable contributions that encompass various perspectives. First, the  
2 model developed through this research builds on to customer relationship marketing theory by  
3 determining the variables that represent CRM practice in practical organisational tasks. They  
4 provide advantages to customers and improve performance in FFRs. Distinctive constructs not  
5 found in previous research are included in this study. In addition, various constructs and variables  
6 are simultaneously introduced: relational benefits, brand experiences, SQ, commitment, customer  
7 satisfaction and trust, and CLV. Previous studies have also discussed the significance of these  
8 dimensions (see the above references). Second, this framework is significant because it provides  
9 fast food managers with the vision to enhance their preparedness and capabilities. After all, it  
10 provides them with a thorough understanding of the needs of their customers by means of the  
11 constructs utilised for CRM, which will lead to the enhancement of CLV. Therefore, the model  
12 is developed in a unique manner by measuring its impact on CLV, in place of merely measuring  
13 its impact on customer satisfaction, which is a less reliable construct (Dandis and Wright, 2020).  
14 Also, the hospitality and service marketing literature has not covered the Middle East as a region  
15 or Jordan as a country, so this study seeks to fill that gap. The authors have endeavoured to  
16 accentuate a neglected area of research that should make significant contributions to the field of  
17 marketing.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## 6. Managerial Implications

1 Concerning customer value benefits, relationship benefits consist of special treatment, social and  
2 confidence benefits. Therefore, in order to improve confidence benefits, the personnel of the FFRs  
3 should be capable of fulfilling obligations and keeping their promises. They also should be  
4 trustworthy and precise when they are processing the customers' orders. Overall, employees  
5 should not promise something they are incapable of delivering. In addition, if something goes  
6 wrong or staff are not going to deliver the order on time, employees should inform their clients  
7 as soon as possible to avoid confrontation. It is difficult for other firms to replicate social benefits,  
8 especially in the short term, so these are appropriate differentiation strategies. Small local firms,  
9 such as hair salons or dry cleaners, can memorise the names of customers or build personal  
10 friendships. However, this can be more complicated for larger companies, such as fast-food  
11 chains. One tactic that these larger organisations can implement to produce a more personalised  
12 interaction with clients is investing in customer database technology (Gwinner et al., 1998). These  
13 companies can also encourage staff to thank customers for their patronage personally. Loyal  
14 customers should be rewarded with the offer of special treatment benefits, such as price  
15 reductions; improved services; and deals tailored to meet their needs.  
16

17  
18 The second principal implication for practitioners is concerned with denoting the individual  
19 influences of brand experience dimensions on outcome relationship marketing, in place of  
20 concentrating on brand experience as a whole. To improve the sensory experience for FFRs,  
21 marketers should especially concentrate on accentuating sensory experiences and joy in the  
22 marketing of FFRs by captivating customers with smells, tastes, sounds, sights and touch (Barnes  
23 et al., 2014). Sensory aspects such as decoration, furnishings, cleanliness and equipment are  
24 recommended so that restaurant brand managers can bring into being a multi-sensory dining  
25 experience (Zeithaml et al. (2018). Also, intellectual or cognitive experience is related to the  
26 activation of the thinking process when the customer is interacting with the brand and the degree  
27 to which problem-solving and curiosity is stimulated (Brakus et al, 2009). To improve the  
28 intellectual experience, food preparation, which can include secret recipes, food presentation and  
29 interactions with staff can enhance the dining experience provided by the restaurant brand. This  
30 can be achieved by delivering mouth-watering flavours derived from innovative and secret recipes  
31 that stimulate the curiosity of customers (Ong et al., 2018). To improve the affective experience,  
32 restaurant marketers should create an affective experience strategy to enhance satisfaction and  
33 commitment. In practice, this can be accomplished by stimulating positive emotions at every  
34 touch-point during the entire dining experience from the entrance to the exit (Ong et al., 2018).  
35 When devising brand experiences, marketing managers should attend to the emotions  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

encapsulated in the dining experience and during all the touchpoints of this experience.

To improve behavioural brand experience, it is important to be unique and differentiate the brand of FFRs from competitors and fulfil promises made by branded restaurants that promote certain lifestyles and cultures, associating customers with these ways of living that help clients to feel that they belong to a specific social group (Al Mandil, 2016).

In addition, this paper's findings indicate that executives in FFRs should focus their efforts on systematically enhancing SQ through improvement in all of their specific operations. By creating and delivering first-rate services, FFRs can satisfy the shifting wants of clients. Moreover, FFRs executives need to coach their staff to listen attentively to customers, to pay careful attention to details and to understand their concerns. This implies staff alertness in being attentive to a wide variety of customer signals that include body language and behaviours that communicate sentiments, thoughts and intentions. Moreover, during the present pandemic and the growing competition driven by globalisation, FFRs ought to adopt a holistic, sustainable, and comprehensive approach to develop their entrepreneurship system (Abou-Moghli and Dandis, 2021) so they can retain customers and increase their lifetime value.

Last but not least, the model proposed in this study can also benefit other areas within the hospitality industry. As one of the core attributes to evaluate the worth of customers to organisations (Méndez-Suárez & Crespo-Tejero, 2021), this study provides an overarching model that can apply to hotel or airline industries. In their research, Hyun and Perdue (2017) suggested the importance of evaluating the concept of lifetime for both hotel and restaurant businesses and provided insights for both types of business. This implies that future research can also extend our study on FFRs to investigate the generation of CLV in the hotel industry. With the expectation that hotel and airline industries will provide excellent SQ to enhance customer experience (Manosuthi et al., 2021), future studies can replicate our model to evaluate how these industries can effectively generate CLV by the integration of relational benefits, brand experiences, SQ and customer experience within one research model.

## 7. Limitations and Future Research

1 The present study has some limitations that future research should address. To begin, this study  
2 is based on one hospitality industry, the FFRs. Consequently, it would be be advantageous to  
3 analyse the model with different hospitality industries and service providers, such as the luxury  
4 restaurant sector, hotels and tourist destinations, or also other industries. Second, because a  
5 cross-sectional methodology only provides data for one moment in time, a longitudinal study  
6 should be employed to examine the causal relationships between independent variables and  
7 CLV. Third, this study also used a non-random sample (convenience sample) in order to  
8 collect data. It is recommended for future research to use a random sampling approach to  
9 generalise results to the FFR industry.

10 Fourth, the model in this research was restricted to the constructs we tested and their relationship  
11 with CLV. On the other hand, the framework we propose in this study opens up potential  
12 research avenues to investigate how SQ, brand experiences and relational benefits can impact  
13 CLV and customer satisfaction in other business types and nations. This will expand the  
14 generalisability of the findings. With CLV already being investigated in other geographical  
15 contexts, such as Japan and China (Dahana et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2018), future research can  
16 replicate and test the model in different cross-cultural settings to further investigate what  
17 influences CLV. Furthermore, the application of this model can stretch beyond the FFR and  
18 hospitality context. Considering the work by Gupta and Ramachandran (2021), to an extent,  
19 addressing how brand experiences and aspects of relational benefits affect CLV, future  
20 research in retail settings can also adopt our model. In order to further the understanding of the  
21 different cultural characteristics that can impact CLV, we recommend replication and testing in  
22 diverse cross-cultural locations. Future research can adopt the same independent variables to  
23 investigate how SQ, relational benefits and brand experiences impact other variables, such as  
24 brand equity and electronic word of mouth. Another potential area of research could be  
25 exploring how social cues and norms influence change in the behaviour of restaurant customers  
26 (Wallace-Williams et al., 2022).

## References

- AboElHamd, E., Abdel-Basset, M., Shamma, H.M., Saleh, M. and El-Khodary, I. (2021), "Modeling Customer Lifetime Value Under Uncertain Environment", *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, Vol. 39, No. 1, pp. 10-30.
- Abou-Moghli, A. & Dandis, A. 2021, "The association between entrepreneurship, management, and sustainable development in the middle east: A systematic review and meta-analysis", *Management science letters*, pp. 2091-2098.
- Al Mandil, K. (2016), *Modelling the relationship between brand experience dimensions and the antecedents of happiness within the context of dining services*. PhD Thesis. Brunel University London.
- Al-Abdallah, G.M., Dandis, A.O. and Al Haj Eid, Mohammad Badi' (2022), "The impact of Instagram utilisation on brand management: an empirical study on the restaurants sector in Beirut", *Journal of Foodservice Business Research*, pp. 1-33.
- Al-Gasawneh, J.A., Khoja, B.A., Al-Qeed, M.A., Nusaira, N.M., Hammouri, Q. and Anuar, MM (2022), "Mobile-customer relationship management and its effect on post-purchase behavior: The moderating of perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness", *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, Vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 439-448.
- Ali, B. J., Gardi, B., Othman, B. J., Ahmed, S. A., Ismael, N. B., Hamza, P. A., Aziz, H. M., Sabir, B. Y. and Anwar, G. (2021), "Hotel Service Quality: The Impact of Service Quality on Customer Satisfaction in Hospitality", *International Journal of Engineering, Business and Management*, Vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 14-28.
- Amirreza, F., Mohammad, S.Z. and Gilani, MHN (2013), "Customer satisfaction in hospitality industry: Middle East tourists at 3star hotels in Malaysia", *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology*, Vol. 5, No. 17, pp.4329-4335.
- Amiresmaeili, M., Jamebozorgi, M.H. and Jamebozorgi, A.H. (2022), "Identifying factors affecting dentists retention in deprived areas in Iran", *The International journal of health planning and management*, Vol. 37, No. 3, pp. 1340-1350.
- Anouze, A.L.M., Alamro, A.S. and Awwad, A.S. (2019), "Customer satisfaction and its measurement in Islamic banking sector: a revisit and update", *Journal of Islamic Marketing*, Vol. 10, No. 2, pp. 565-588.
- Apornak, A. (2019), "The influence of strategic decisions for provision of product (service) on the customer's priorities: case study of automotive industry". *International Journal of Management Concepts and Philosophy*, Vol. 12, No.4, pp. 422-432.
- Barnes, S.J., Mattsson, J. and Sørensen, F. (2014), "Destination brand experience and visitor behavior: Testing a scale in the tourism context", *Annals of Tourism Research*, Vol. 48, pp. 121-139.
- Brakus, J. J., Schmitt, B. H. and Zarantonello, L. (2009). "Brand experience: what is it? How is it measured? Does it affect loyalty?", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 73, No. 3, pp. 52-68.



1 Brown, J.R., Crosno, JL and Tong, P.Y. (2019), "Is the theory of trust and commitment in  
2 marketing relationships incomplete?", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 77, pp. 155-169.

3 Buttle, F. (1996), "SERVQUAL review, critique, research agenda", *European journal of*  
4 *marketing*, Vol. 30, No. 1, pp. 8-32

6 Carrizo Moreira, A., Freitas, P.M. and Ferreira, V.M. (2017), "The Effects of Brand Experiences  
7 on Quality, Satisfaction and Loyalty: An Empirical Study in the Telecommunications Multiple-  
8 play Service Market", *Innovar: Revista de Ciencias Administrativas y Sociales*, Vol. 27, No. 64,  
9 pp. 23-36.

11 Chaudhuri, A. and Holbrook, M.B. (2001), "The chain of influences from brand trust and brand  
12 affects to brand performance: the role of brand loyalty", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 65, No. 2, pp.  
13 81-93

14 Chen, C. (2012), *Conceptualising Customer Relationship Management and its Impact on*  
15 *Customer Lifetime Value in the Taiwanese Banking Sector*. PhD Thesis. De Montfort University,  
16 Leicester, UK.

17 Chenet, P., Dagger, TS and O'Sullivan, D. (2010), "Service quality, trust, commitment and service  
18 differentiation in business relationships", *The Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 24, No. 5, pp.  
19 336-346.

21 Chou, S. and Chen, C. (2018), "The influences of relational benefits on repurchase intention in  
22 service contexts: the roles of gratitude, trust and commitment", *The Journal of Business &*  
23 *Industrial Marketing*, Vol. 33, No. 5, pp. 680-692.

25 Cossío-Silva, F., Revilla-Camacho, M. and Vega-Vázquez, M. (2019), "The tourist loyalty index:  
26 A new indicator for measuring tourist destination loyalty?", *Journal of Innovation &*  
27 *Knowledge*, Vol. 4, No. 2, pp. 71-77.

29 Curtis, T., Abratt, R., Rhoades, D. and Dion, P. (2011), "Customer loyalty, repurchase and  
30 satisfaction: A meta-analytical review ", *Journal of Consumer Satisfaction, Dissatisfaction and*  
31 *Complaining Behavior*, Vol. 24, pp. 1-26.

33 Dagger, TS and O'Brien, T.K. (2010), "Does experience matter?: Differences in relationship  
34 benefits, satisfaction, trust, commitment and loyalty for novice and experienced service users",  
35 *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 44, No. 9/10, pp. 1528-1552

37 Dagger, T.S., David, M.E. and Ng, S. (2011), "Do relationship benefits and maintenance drive  
38 commitment and loyalty?", *The Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 25, No. 4, pp. 273-281.

40 Dahana, W.D., Miwa, Y. and Morisada, M. (2019), "Linking lifestyle to customer lifetime value:  
41 an exploratory study in an online fashion retail market", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 99,  
42 pp. 319-331.

44 Dandis, A. O., Wallace-Williams, D.M., Ni, A.K., Wright, L.T. and Siam, YIA, (2022a), The  
45 effect of brand experiences and relational benefits on loyalty in the fast-food restaurants. *The*  
46 *TQM Journal*, (ahead-of-print). Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print.

1 Dandis, A.O. and Al Haj Eid, M.B. (2022b), "Customer lifetime value: investigating the factors  
2 affecting attitudinal and behavioural brand loyalty", *The TQM Journal*, Vol. 34, No. 3, pp. 476-  
3 493.

4 Dandis, A.O. (2016), *Service Quality and Customer Satisfaction Impact on Behavioural and*  
5 *Attitudinal Customer's Loyalty in Jordanian Islamic Banks*, University of Huddersfield, UK.

7 Dandis, A.O. and Wright, L.T. (2020), "The effects of CARTER model on attitudinal loyalty in  
8 Islamic banks", *International Journal of Quality and Service Sciences*, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp. 149-  
9 171.

11 Dandis, A.O., Al Haj Eid, M.B., Robin, R. and Wierdak, N. (2022c), "An empirical investigation  
12 of the factors affecting customer lifetime value", *The International journal of quality & reliability*  
13 *management*, Vol. 39, No. 4, pp. 910-935.

16 Dandis, A.O., and Wright, L.T., (2021), Marketing research and customer loyalty in an Islamic  
17 banking culture in the Middle East: A case study of Jordan. In *The Routledge Companion to*  
18 *Marketing Research* (pp. 377-400). Routledge.

21 Dandis, A.O., Jarrad, A.A., Joudeh, J.M.M., Mukattash, I.L. & Hassounch, A.G. (2022d), "The  
22 effect of multidimensional service quality on word of mouth in university on-campus healthcare  
23 centers", *The TQM Journal*, Vol. 34, No. 4, pp. 701-727.

25 Dandis, A.O., Wright, L.T., Wallace-Williams, D.M., Mukattash, I., Al Haj Eid, M. & Cai,  
26 H. (2021), "Enhancing consumers' self-reported loyalty intentions in Islamic Banks: The  
27 relationship between service quality and the mediating role of customer satisfaction", *Cogent*  
28 *business & management*, Vol. 8, No. 1.

31 DeVita, T., Brett-Major, D., and Katz, R. (2022), "How are healthcare provider systems  
32 preparing for health emergency situations?", *World Medical & Health Policy*, Vol. 14, No. 1,  
33 pp. 102- 120.

35 Famiyeh, S., Kwarteng, A. and Asante-Darko, D. (2018), "Service quality, customer satisfaction  
36 and loyalty in automobile maintenance services: evidence from a developing country", *Journal*  
37 *of Quality in Maintenance Engineering*, Vol. 24 No. 3, pp. 262-279.

39 Fatikhaturrohmah, F., Suharyono, S. and Kusumawati, A. (2020), "Pengaruh relational benefits  
40 terhadap satisfaction, trust, dan advocacy", *Profit: Journal Administrasi Bisnis*, pp. 93-103.

42 Fatima, J.K. and Mascio, R.D. (2020), "Synchronising relational benefits with customer  
43 commitment profiles", *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, Vol. 28, No. 4, pp. 366-378.

45 Fatima, J.K., Razzaque, M.A. & Di Mascio, R. (2016), "Modelling satisfaction-  
46 commitment relationship in developing country context", *The International Journal of Quality*  
47 *& Reliability Management*, Vol. 33, No. 7, pp. 985-1001.

50 Gallarza-Granizo, M.G., Ruiz-Molina, M. & Schlosser, C. 2020, "Customer value in  
51 Quick-Service Restaurants: A cross-cultural study", *International journal of*  
52 *hospitality management*, Vol. 85, pp. 102351.

54 Goodwin, C. and Gremler, D. (1996), "Friendship over the counter: how social aspects of  
55 service encounters influence consumer service loyalty", *Advances of Services*  
56 *Marketing Management*, Vol. 5, pp. 247-282.

- 1 Gremler, D. D., Van Vaerenbergh, Y., Bruggen, E. C. and Gwinner, K. P. (2020),  
2 "Understanding and managing customer relational benefits in services: A meta-analysis",  
3 *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 48, No.3, pp.565-583.
- 4 Gupta, S., and Ramachandran, D. (2021). Emerging market retail: transitioning from a product-  
5 centric to a customer-centric approach. *Journal of Retailing*, Vol. 97, No. 4, pp. 597-620.
- 6  
7 Gwinner, K.P., Gremler, DD and Bitner, M.J. (1998), "Relational benefits in services industries:  
8 The customer's perspective", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 26 No. 2,  
9 pp. 101-114.
- 10  
11 Hammouri, Q., Altaher, A.M., Al-Gasawneh, J.A., Rabaa'i, A.A., Aloqoo, A. and Khataybeh,  
12 H. (2022), "Understanding the determinants of digital shopping features: The role of promo  
13 code on customer behavioral intention", *International Journal of Data and Network Science*,  
14 Vol. 6, No. 3, pp. 641-650.
- 15  
16  
17 Han, H. and Ryu, K. (2009), "The Roles of the Physical Environment, Price Perception,  
18 and Customer Satisfaction in Determining Customer Loyalty in the Restaurant Industry",  
19 *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, Vol. 33, No. 4, pp. 487-510.
- 20  
21 Han, H., Back, K. and Barrett, B. (2009), "Influencing factors on restaurant customers' revisit  
22 intention: The roles of emotions and switching barriers", *International Journal of*  
23 *Hospitality Management*, Vol. 28, No. 4, pp. 563-572.
- 24  
25  
26 Han, H., Lee, K., Song, H., Lee, S. and Chua, B. (2020), "Role of coffeehouse brand  
27 experiences (sensory/affective/intellectual/behavioral) in forming patrons' repurchase  
28 intention: Impact of switching costs", *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Insights*, Vol. 3, No.  
29 1, pp. 17-35.
- 30  
31 Hennig-Thurau, T., Gwinner, K.P. and Gremler, DD (2002), "Understanding Relationship  
32 Marketing Outcomes: An Integration of Relational Benefits and Relationship Quality", *Journal*  
33 *of Service Research*, Vol. 4, No. 3, pp. 230-247.
- 34  
35  
36 Jiang, C.M., Chu, C.H., Duangthip, D., Ettinger, R.L., Hugo, F.N., Kettratad-Pruksapong,  
37 M., Liu, J., Marchini, L., McKenna, G., Ono, T., Rong, W., Schimmel, M., Shah, N., Slack-  
38 Smith, L., Yang, S.X. & Lo, E.C.M. 2021, "Global Perspectives of Oral Health Policies  
39 and Oral Healthcare Schemes for Older Adult Populations", *Frontiers in oral health*, Vol. 2, pp.  
40 703526-703526.
- 41  
42  
43 Jin, N. P., Lee, S. and Huffman, L. (2012), "Impact of Restaurant Experience on Brand Image  
44 and Customer Loyalty: Moderating Role of Dining Motivation", *Journal of Travel & Tourism*  
45 *Marketing*, Vol. 29 No. 6, pp. 532-551.
- 46  
47  
48 Jin, N., Line, N.D. and Merkebu, J. (2016), "The Impact of Brand Prestige on Trust, Perceived  
49 Risk, Satisfaction, and Loyalty in Upscale Restaurants", *Journal of Hospitality Marketing*  
50 *& Management*, Vol. 25, No. 5, pp. 523-546.
- 51  
52  
53 Joudeh, J.M. and Dandis, A. (2018), "Service quality, customer satisfaction and loyalty in an  
54 internet service providers", *International Journal of Business and Management*, Vol. 13, No. 8,  
55 pp. 108-120
- 56  
57  
58 Joudeh, J. M., Khraiwish, A., Al-Gasawneh, J.A., Abu-Loghod, N.A., Nusairat, N.M. and Ali,  
59 N.N. (2021), "customers' perception of service quality in Syrian restaurants operating in Jordan:  
60

the mediating effect of customer satisfaction", *Academy of strategic management Journal*, Vol. 20, pp. 1-14.

Jun, J., Kang, J. and Hyun, S.S. (2017), "Effects of third-party certification on patrons' service quality evaluation in the luxury-restaurant industry", *British Food Journal*, Vol. 119, No. 4, pp. 771-789.

Jung, L.H. and Soo, K.M. (2012), "The effect of brand experience on brand relationship quality". *Academy of Marketing Studies Journal*, Vol. 16, No. 1, pp. 87–98.

Kalargyrou, V., Barber, NA and Kuo, P. (2018), "The impact of disability on guests' perceptions of service quality delivery in the hospitality industry", *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, Vol. 30, No. 12, pp. 3632-3655.

Keramati, A., Apornak, A., Abedi, H., Otrodi, F., and Roudneshin, M. (2018), "The effect of service recovery on customers' satisfaction in e-banking: an empirical investigation", *International Journal of Business Information Systems*, Vol. 29, No. 4, pp. 459-484.

Kim, I., Jeon, S.M. & Hyun, S.S. 2011, "The Role of Effective Service Provider Communication Style in the Formation of Restaurant Patrons' Perceived Relational Benefits and Loyalty", *Journal of travel & tourism marketing*, Vol. 28, No. 7, pp. 765-786.

Kim, W. and Ok, C. (2009), "The Effects of Relational Benefits on Customers' Perception of Favorable Inequity, Affective Commitment, and Repurchase Intention in Full-Service Restaurants", *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, Vol. 33, No. 2, pp. 227-244.

Khalili-Damghani, K., Noorzadeh, F., and Apornak, A. (2022), "Resource allocation problems in data envelopment analysis with simultaneous shared costs and common revenue", *International Journal of Data Envelopment Analysis*, Vol. 10, No. 2, pp. 1-12.

Kotler, P., Armstrong, G. and Opresnik, M.O. (2020) *Principles of Marketing*. 18<sup>th</sup> Edn, Global Edn, Pearson, Harlow, England.

Kumar, R.S., Dash, S. and Malhotra, N.K. (2018), "The impact of marketing activities on service brand equity", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 52, No. 3/4, pp. 596-618.

Kumar, V. (2006), *Customer lifetime value*. In R. Grover, & M. Vriens (Eds.), *The handbook of marketing research: Uses and future advances* (pp. 606–627). Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications

Kumar, V. and Reinartz, W. (2016), "Creating Enduring Customer Value", *Journal of marketing*, Vol. 80, No. 6, pp. 36-68.

Kumar, V., and Pansari, A. (2016), "National culture, economy, and customer lifetime value: Assessing the relative impact of the drivers of customer lifetime value for a global retailer", *Journal of International Marketing*, Vol. 24, No. 1, pp. 1-21.

Kumar, V., Venkatesan, R., Bohling, T. & Beckmann, D. (2008), "Practice Prize Report--The Power of CLV: Managing Customer Lifetime Value at IBM", *Marketing science*, Vol. 27, No. 4, pp. 585-599.

- 1 Lam, I.K.V. and Wong, I.A. (2020), "The role of relationship quality and loyalty program in  
2 tourism shopping: a multilevel investigation", *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, Vol. 37,  
3 No. 1, pp. 92-111.
- 4 Lee, H.J. and Seong, MH (2020), "A study on the effects of business service quality on  
5 satisfaction, commitment, performance, and loyalty at a private university", *The Journal of*  
6 *Asian Finance, Economics, and Business*, Vol. 7, No. 9, pp. 439-453.
- 7  
8 Lee, S., Jeong, M. and Oh, H. (2018), "Enhancing customers' positive responses:  
9 Applying sensory marketing to the hotel website", *Journal of Global Scholars of Marketing*  
10 *Science*, Vol. 28, No. 1, pp. 68-85.
- 11  
12 Malhotra, N.K., Nunan, D. and Birks, D.F. (2017), *Marketing Research: An Applied Approach*.  
13 Pearson.
- 14  
15  
16 Manosuthi, N., Lee, J. S., and Han, H. (2021), "Causal-predictive model of customer  
17 lifetime/influence value: mediating roles of memorable experiences and customer engagement  
18 in hotels and airlines", *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, Vol. 38, No. 5, pp. 461-477.
- 19  
20 McDonald, M.A. (1996), "Service quality and customer lifetime value in professional sport  
21 franchises", Ph.D., University of Massachusetts Amherst.
- 22  
23 McKnight, D., Choudhury, V. and Kacmar, C. (2002), "The impact of initial consumer trust on  
24 intentions to transact with a web site: a trust building model", *The journal of strategic*  
25 *information systems*, Vol. 11, No. 3, pp. 297-323.
- 26  
27 Méndez-Suárez, M., and Crespo-Tejero, N. (2021), "Why do banks retain unprofitable  
28 customers? A customer lifetime value real options approach. *Journal of Business Research*,  
29 Vol. 122, pp. 621-626.
- 30  
31 Morgan, R.M. and Hunt, S.D. (1994), "The Commitment-Trust Theory of Relationship  
32 Marketing", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 58, No. 3, pp. 20.
- 33  
34 Mousavi, S. F., Apornak, A., Pourhassan, M. R., and Raissi, S. (2022), "Key performance  
35 indicators of HSE in the hospital management system during corona virus pandemic", *Journal*  
36 *of Industrial and Systems Engineering*, Vol. 14, No.1, pp. 279-291.
- 37  
38 Mukattash, I.L., Dandis, A.O., Thomas, R., Nusair, MB & Mukattash, T.L. (2021),  
39 "Social marketing, shock advertising and risky consumption behavior", *International journal of*  
40 *emerging markets*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print.
- 41  
42  
43  
44  
45 Ong, C. H., Lee, H. W. and Ramayah, T. (2018), "Impact of brand experience on loyalty", *Journal*  
46 *of Hospitality Marketing & Management*, Vol. 27, No. 7, pp. 755-774.
- 47  
48 Pritchard, M.P., Havitz, M.E. and Howard, D.R. (1999), "Analysing the commitment-loyalty link  
49 in service contexts", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 27, No. 3, pp. 333-348.
- 50  
51 Qi, J., Zhou, Y., Chen, W. and Qu, Q. (2012), "Are customer satisfaction and customer loyalty  
52 drivers of customer lifetime value in mobile data services: a comparative cross-country  
53 study", *Information Technology and Management*, Vol. 13, No. 4, pp. 281-296.
- 54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1 Radojevic, T., Stanisic, N. and Stanic, N. (2015), "Ensuring positive feedback: Factors that  
2 influence customer satisfaction in the contemporary hospitality industry", *Tourism  
3 Management*, Vol. 51, pp. 13-21.
- 4 Ramaseshan, B. and Stein, A. (2014), "Connecting the dots between brand experience and brand  
5 loyalty: The mediating role of brand personality and brand relationships", *Journal of Brand  
6 Management*, Vol. 21, No. 7, pp. 664-683.
- 8 Reichheld, FF and Sasser, W.E. (1990), "Zero defections: Quality comes to services", *Harvard  
9 Business Review*, Vol. 68, No. 5, pp.105-111.
- 11 Robin, R. and Dandis, A.O. (2021), "Business as usual through contact tracing app: what  
12 influences intention to download?", *Journal of marketing management*, Vol. 37, No. 17-18, pp.  
13 1903-1932.
- 15 Ryu, K. & Lee, J. 2017, "Examination of Restaurant Quality, Relationship Benefits, and Customer  
16 Reciprocity From the Perspective of Relationship Marketing Investments", *Journal of hospitality  
17 & Tourism Research*, Vol. 41, No. 1, pp. 66-92
- 20 Salehzadeh, R., Khazaei Pool, J., Tabaeian, R.A., Amani, M. and Mortazavi, M. (2017), "The  
21 impact of internal marketing and market orientation on performance: an empirical study in  
22 restaurant industry", *Measuring Business Excellence*, Vol. 21, No. 4, pp. 273-290.
- 24 Seddon, J. and Sant, R. (2007) Increasing business value through improved customer experiences.  
25 [online] E-Perspectives. Available from <http://www.millwardbrown.com> [Accessed 22 October  
26 2009].
- 29 Sina, A.S. and Kim, H. (2019), "Enhancing consumer satisfaction and retail patronage through  
30 brand experience, cognitive pleasure, and shopping enjoyment: A comparison between lifestyle  
31 and product-centric displays", *Journal of Global Fashion Marketing*, Vol. 10, No. 2, pp. 129-144.
- 33 Singh, G., Slack, N., Sharma, S., Mudaliar, K., Narayan, S., Kaur, R. and Sharma, K.U. (2021),  
34 "Antecedents involved in developing fast-food restaurant customer loyalty", *TQM Journal*, Vol.  
35 33, No. 8, pp. 1753-1769.
- 37 Wallace-Williams, D.M., Tiu Wright, L. & Dandis, A.O. (2022), "Social norms, cues and  
38 improved communication to influence behaviour change of smokers", *Journal of marketing  
39 communications*, pp. 1-26.
- 42 Wang, J., Choe, Y. and Song, H. (2020), "Brand behavioral intentions of a theme park in China:  
43 An application of brand experience", *Sustainability*, Vol. 12, No. 11, p. 4500.
- 45 Wirtz, J. and Lovelock, C.H. (2016) *Services Marketing: People, Technology, Strategy*. World  
46 Scientific, New Jersey.
- 49 Wong, K.H., Chang, H.H. and Yeh, C.H. (2019), "The effects of consumption values and  
50 relational benefits on smartphone brand switching behavior", *Information, Technology &  
51 People*, Vol. 32, No. 1, pp. 217-243.
- 56 Wu, J. S., Law, R., and Liu, J. (2018), "Co-creating value with customers: a study of mobile  
57 hotel bookings in China", *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*,  
58 Vol. 30, No. 4, pp. 2056–2074.
- 60