

1 **Title Page**

2

3 Kathryn Holloway, Denise Roche and Peter Angell.

4

5 **Evaluating the progressive cardiovascular health benefits of short-term high intensity**
6 **interval training.**

7

8 Liverpool Hope University, School of Health Sciences, Hope Park, Taggart Avenue, Liverpool,
9 L16 9JD.

10

11 Corresponding author:

12 Kathryn Holloway

13 hollowk@hope.ac.uk

14 01512913227

15

16

17 **Acknowledgements**

18 We would like to thank Mark Bell and Sean Muirhead for their contribution to the exercise
19 programme.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 **Abstract**

2 *Purpose* High-intensity training is recognised as a time efficient way of improving aerobic
3 fitness. However, there is a lack of consensus regarding the temporal nature of adaptation
4 response and which peripheral and cardiac changes occur using the same exercise stimulus and
5 protocol. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the progression of vascular and cardiac
6 changes over a 6-week training period.

7 *Methods* Twelve healthy males (age 21 ± 2 years; 42.5 ± 8.3 ml.min⁻¹.kg⁻¹) participated in a high-
8 intensity training programme consisting of 1-minute sprints, interspersed with 2 minutes active
9 recovery, 3 days/week for 6 weeks on a cycle ergometer. Cardiac, vascular, blood lipids and
10 VO_{2max} measurements were taken at 0, 3 and 6 weeks and compared against a participant-
11 matched control group (age 21 ± 2 years; 37.7 ± 8.3 ml.min⁻¹.kg⁻¹).

12 *Results* There was a significant improvement in VO_{2max} (42.5 ± 8.3 ml.min⁻¹.kg⁻¹ to 47.4 ± 8.5
13 ml.min⁻¹.kg⁻¹; $p=0.009$) in the training group and a significant decrease in systolic blood
14 pressure (8%) from 0-6 weeks ($p=0.025$). There was a small yet significant decrease in ejection
15 fraction and increased end-systolic volume in both groups over time ($p=0.01$) with no
16 significant interaction effect ($p>0.05$). A between-group difference in peak velocity of early
17 diastolic mitral annular motion was also observed ($p=0.01$). No improvements were seen in
18 blood lipid profiles, central arterial stiffness and cardiometabolic risk score.

19 *Conclusions* Six weeks of high-intensity training increases aerobic fitness and is enough to
20 stimulate initial reductions in peripheral pressure, but not sufficient to elicit structural and
21 functional cardiac changes, reduce arterial stiffness or lower CV risk.

22

23 **Key words**

24 High-intensity, exercise training, cardiac function, vascular structure, cardiovascular risk

25

26 **Abbreviations**

27 A	Peak velocity of late transmitral flow
28 A'	Peak velocity of diastolic mitral annular motion
29 Alx	Augmentation index
30 AP	Central augmented pressure
31 a-VDO ₂	Arterial-venous difference
32 BMI	Body mass index
33 CO _{max}	Maximal cardiac output

1	CRF	Cardiorespiratory fitness
2	CVD	Cardiovascular disease
3	DBP	Diastolic blood pressure
4	DP	Central aortic diastolic pressure
5	E	Peak velocity of early diastolic transmitral flow
6	E'	Peak velocity of early diastolic mitral annular motion
7	EF	Ejection fraction
8	FBG	Fasting blood glucose
9	HDL-C	High-density lipoprotein cholesterol
10	HIIT	High intensity interval training
11	HR	Heart rate
12	IVSd	Interventricular septum thickness at end -diastole
13	LDL-C	Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol
14	LV	Left ventricle
15	LVEDV	Left ventricular end-diastolic volume
16	LVESV	Left ventricular end-systolic volume
17	LVIDd	Left ventricular internal diameter end diastole
18	LVIDs	Left ventricular internal diameter end systole
19	LVPWd	Left ventricular posterior wall thickness at end –diastole
20	MAP	Mean arterial pressure
21	NO	Nitric oxide
22	PP	Central aortic pulse pressure
23	PWV	Pulse wave velocity
24	S'	Peak velocity of systolic mitral annular motion
25	SBP	Systolic blood pressure
26	SIT	Sprint interval training
27	SP	Central aortic systolic pressure

1	SV	Stroke volume
2	SV _{max}	Maximal stroke volume
3	TC	Total cholesterol
4	TG	Triglycerides

5
6

7 **Introduction**

8 An emerging body of evidence is highlighting the positive impact that high intensity interval
9 training (HIIT) has on an individual's physical health. HIIT can be defined as repeated short
10 bouts of high intensity aerobic exercise (typically >90% VO_{2max}; Kessler et al. 2012)
11 interspersed by periods of lower intensity passive or active recovery (Astorino et al. 2012).
12 Numerous training studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of endurance training on
13 cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF; Kemi et al. 2005; Leon, 1997). However, more current research
14 has identified HIIT as a more time efficient method compared to endurance training (Gormley
15 et al. 2008; Helgerud et al. 2007) with HIIT eliciting greater increases in CRF within a variety
16 of population groups including healthy sedentary (Weston et al. 2014) and heart failure patients
17 (Angadi et al. 2015). HIIT has also shown increased adherence rates compared to continuous
18 training in healthy (Heisz et al., 2016) and diseased groups (Jung et al., 2015), highlighting its
19 potential for wider use amongst the general population. With a large percentage of the
20 population not meeting the current physical activity guidelines, and lack of time as the biggest
21 barrier (Godin et al. 1994), understanding how HIIT can improve overall cardiovascular health
22 is fundamental. In addition, with 64% of participants dropping out of a long-term exercise
23 programmes within the first 8 weeks (Heisz et al., 2016), it is important to understand the
24 immediate benefits of short-term HIIT.

25 Fifteen minutes of daily high intensity exercise can reduce all-cause mortality risk by 25%,
26 which is equivalent to completing 60 minutes of daily moderate intensity physical activity
27 (Wen et al. 2011). It has therefore been suggested that there is an inverse dose-response
28 relationship between mortality risk and the proportion of high intensity exercise performed,
29 which would suggest a need to endorse high intensity exercise (Gebel et al. 2015). More
30 specifically, HIIT has been shown to improve a variety of direct and indirect cardiovascular
31 indices, with as little as six sessions of HIIT improving VO_{2max} by 10% (Esfandiari et al. 2014).
32 Notwithstanding the improvements seen in CRF, the key indices contributing to this
33 enhancement remain elusive and so have been associated with a number of different
34 physiological adaptations. MacPherson et al. (2011) ascertained that 6 weeks of sprint-interval
35 training mediated no change in cardiac function, but the augmentation in CRF was increased
36 due to peripheral adaptations. This has primarily been associated with enhanced vascular
37 structure and function, provoking a greater blood flow through the vessels, consequently
38 increasing oxygen availability and promoting a greater shear stress-induced nitric oxide (NO)
39 bioavailability (Wisloff et al. 2007). In support of this, Thijssen et al. (2009) showed a parallel

1 relationship between an increase in blood flow and increase in shear stress with increasing
2 exercise intensity. Consequently, an increase in NO release has been associated with an
3 improvement in CV risk profile with recurring intermittent increases in shear stress instigating
4 vascular adaptations and remodelling of the artery (Wilson et al. 2015). The augmented shear
5 associated with high-intensity exercise would predict an increased likelihood of notable
6 vascular adaptations to HIIT, although there is limited data on the response in healthy
7 individuals with studies mainly having been undertaken in diseased populations (Thijssen et
8 al. 2009). In fact, Tjonna et al. (2011) showed that HIIT is sufficient in maintaining normal
9 vascular function in healthy adults but very limited potential for further vascular improvements
10 due to the healthy nature of the subjects. Despite this, Tinken et al. (2008) showed that exercise
11 training initiates improvement in vasodilator function in healthy young men in as little as 2
12 weeks. This therefore raises the question of the effectiveness of HIIT in the general population
13 who are currently free from cardiovascular disease but are of a sedentary nature, since
14 peripheral adaptations are associated with CRF and consequently CV risk.

15 Conversely, increases in cardio-respiratory fitness have also been associated with cardiac
16 adaptations that have predominantly occurred during the active recovery phase that occurs in-
17 between the high-intensity intervals. Astorino et al. (2017) found that 6 weeks of HIIT
18 significantly increased CRF through an increase in maximal stroke volume (SV_{max}) and
19 maximal cardiac output (CO_{max}), but found no increase in arterial-venous difference ($a-VDO_2$).
20 Cardiac output is enhanced through the increase of LV volume and a decrease in peripheral
21 resistance (Tschakert et al. 2013) which enhances end-diastolic volume and stroke volume
22 (SV). Buchheit et al. (2013) describes that working at an intensity close to maximal SV triggers
23 these cardiac adaptations in HIIT. However, it is important to note that HIIT may not induce
24 structural changes quickly (<6 weeks) as increases in SV have also been attributed to an
25 increase in plasma volume thus eliciting increases in blood volume and subsequently SV.
26 Therefore, it is important to ascertain at which point cardiac structural changes appear with
27 HIIT since the intermittent nature of exercise and recovery has the potential to improve CRF
28 and thus reduce CVD risk.

29 High intensity interval training protocols vary amongst research groups in regards to exercise
30 intensity, work: rest ratios, duration and frequency of exercise. It is therefore difficult to fully
31 elucidate the extent of potential simultaneous peripheral and cardiac changes that are induced
32 by HIIT. Only one study to date has incorporated both cardiac and vascular measurements
33 using HIIT in adult males (Heydari et al. 2013) but this study adopted a sprint interval training
34 (SIT) based protocol (8 second sprint, 12 second recovery) rather than an HIIT protocol. HIIT
35 has been shown to produce a lower perceived exertion and a higher positive affect in
36 comparison to SIT (Wood et al. 2015) and therefore could be deemed more appropriate for the
37 general population. In addition, HIIT has also been deemed as a safe exercise regime to
38 undertake even with high risk groups (Weston et al. 2014), thus furthermore allowing the
39 protocol to be used beyond the general population if required.

40 Exercise intensity, as opposed to duration, has been deemed as the most important variable in
41 determining CRF (Karlsen et al. 2017). With a clear association between cardio-respiratory
42 fitness and CVD risk (Karlsen et al. 2017), it is important to understand which physiological

1 indices are primarily adapting with HIIT and the time-course of responses. Therefore, this
2 study investigated the simultaneous changes in peripheral and cardiac indices from a
3 temporal perspective using a HIIT based protocol to elucidate potential cardiovascular
4 improvements. As a consequence, we hypothesise that HIIT will significantly improve
5 indices of vascular function, which in turn may elicit cardiac changes in the form of an
6 improvement in systolic function.

7

8 **Methods**

9 **Study design**

10 Subjects were individually assigned to one of two parallel groups, an exercise intervention and
11 control group. The study was an open-label study, with an allocation ratio of 1:1. The study
12 took place in the exercise physiology laboratories, Liverpool Hope University, Liverpool, UK
13 from January 2016 to April 2016.

14

15 **Subjects**

16 Subjects were recruited through University advertisements, email bulletins and notice boards.
17 Inclusion criteria included healthy males, non-smokers, free from cardiac or respiratory
18 pathologies and not taking regular medication. Twenty-one subjects were recruited, with 14
19 subjects assigned to the intervention group to complete a 6-week high-intensity exercise
20 programme (age 21 ± 2 years, body mass 73.5 ± 9.5 kg, BMI 24 ± 3 kg/m²) and 7 subjects were
21 assigned to a control group (age 21 ± 2 years, body mass 71.4 ± 7.6 kg, BMI 23 ± 3 kg/m²). Two
22 subjects from the intervention group withdrew from the study due to injury that was gained
23 outside of the exercise programme.

24 This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Liverpool Hope University and all
25 subjects gave their written informed consent.

26

27 **Experimental design**

28 All physiological measurements were taken at baseline, 3 weeks and 6 weeks. All subjects
29 refrained from consuming caffeine (6 hours), food (12 hours), alcohol (12 hours) and strenuous
30 exercise (24 hours) before measurements were conducted. Subjects successively completed 18
31 HIIT sessions, with an average 89% completion rate. Subjects were removed from the study
32 if their completion rate was below 80%. Subjects were tested and trained at the same time of
33 day to avoid diurnal variation. Post training tests at 3 and 6 weeks were taken within 48-120
34 hours after the last HIIT session. Subjects were asked to maintain the same dietary intake and
35 physical activity level as undertaken prior to the study.

1 All data was collected over 2 days of testing in a standard laboratory environment (room
2 temperature 22°C, relative humidity 10%).

3

4 **Anthropometrics**

5 Total body and segmental body fat analysis was conducted using bioelectric impedance (Tanita
6 T5896, Tokyo). Height was measured to the nearest 0.1cm using a stadiometer (SECA,
7 Germany) and weight was measured to the nearest 0.1kg using scales (SECA, Germany). Body
8 mass index was then calculated using the formula body mass (kg) divided by height squared
9 (m).

10

11 **VO₂MAX**

12 Subjects performed the VO_{2max} test on a cycle ergometer (Lode Excalibur Sport, Lode B.V
13 Technology, Groningen, Netherlands). Breath by Breath analysis was collected through a
14 Respiratory Ergostik (Geratherm, Bremen, Germany), evaluating the expired O₂ and carbon
15 dioxide (CO₂) using the Blue Cherry software (CV% = 3%). Calibration of the Ergostik
16 occurred prior to every test with O₂, CO₂ and nitrogen gas percentages accounting for 16%,
17 4% and 80% respectively. Subjects were fitted with a H2 Polar HR monitor (Polar Team 2
18 Heart Rate Monitor System) and then sat resting for 2 minutes to gain baseline respiratory
19 values. Following this, a 5-minute warm up was instructed at a power output corresponding to
20 that of the initial stage of the ramp test (50 watts). Once the warm up was complete, the ramp
21 test instantaneously began with intensity increasing 25 watts per minute. Subjects cycled at an
22 rpm between 60 and 70 for as long as possible until exhaustion. The test was terminated when
23 rpm fell below 60 for longer than 10 seconds, while HR within 10 beats of the age related max
24 and RER ≥ 1.15 displayed if a true VO_{2max} was achieved. Following this, a 2-minute cool down
25 was advised, at 50 watts. The VO₂ for each 30 second epoch was averaged. The maximum 30
26 second average value was reported.

27

28 **Assessment of vascular structure and function.**

29 For all vascular measurements, subjects remained in a supine position for 10 minutes before
30 testing commenced in a temperature-controlled room.

31 ***Pulse wave analysis***

32 Pulse wave analysis measurements were attained using a SphygmoCor System (AtCor
33 Medical, Sydney, Australia). An automated cuff was placed around the upper left arm and was
34 inflated to measure brachial systolic and diastolic pressures and central pressures.
35 Measurements were taken twice. A third test was completed if the previous two measurements
36 were >5mmHg different from each other. Following this, the cuff was re-inflated to capture
37 the brachial waveforms and used to determine pulse wave reflection characteristics. Central

1 Aortic Diastolic Pressure (DP) was calculated by the lowest pressure value recorded in a pulse
2 and Central Aortic Systolic Pressure (SP) was calculated as the maximum pressure during
3 aortic injection. Central Aortic Pulse Pressure (PP) was then calculated as Central Aortic
4 Systolic Pressure (SP) minus the Central Aortic Diastolic pressure (DP). The Central
5 Augmented Pressure (AP) was calculated as the difference between the two pressure peaks
6 during systole and the Augmentation Index (AIx) is the ratio of AP to PP. Mean Arterial
7 Pressure (MAP) and Heart rate (HR) were also measured.

8 ***Pulse wave velocity***

9 Pulse wave velocity measurements were attained using a SphygmoCor System (AtCor
10 Medical, Sydney, Australia). Measurements were standardised according to recommendations
11 by Van Bortel et al. (2012). PWV was taken from the left carotid artery to the left femoral
12 artery with subjects laying in a supine position. The distance between the carotid site and the
13 manubrium sterni and the carotid to femoral artery distance was determined to the nearest
14 millimetre (mm). PWV was measured by aligning the high-fidelity tonometer with the carotid
15 artery pulse, holding in position until full assessment was completed. A pressure cuff was
16 positioned around the upper left thigh. Time delays between proximal and distal waveforms
17 were then used to calculate PWV (m/s) by the following equation: $PWV = D/\Delta t$ in which D is
18 the distance (m) and Δt is the time interval (s). The SphygmoCor software will only accept
19 values that are within a standard deviation of $\leq 10\%$, which has been shown to be highly
20 reproducible (Wilkinson et al. 1998).

21 ***Vascular structure***

22 Brachial artery imaging was conducted using a VividQ ultrasound scanner (GE Healthcare,
23 UK). Scans were performed on the right arm with the subject in a supine position. The
24 ultrasound probe (6-13MHz) was placed above the antecubital fossa in the longitudinal plane.
25 Femoral artery measurements were taken on the right leg, in a supine position, at the midpoint
26 of the inguinal ligament. Three wall-to-wall measures were taken of each artery using the
27 Vividq calliper option and an average was calculated to give a true diameter (cm) value.

28

29 **Assessment of cardiac structure and function.**

30 Global and segmental cardiac structure and function were assessed using ultrasound
31 echocardiography (Vivid Q, GE Healthcare, Norway). Images were taken of the left ventricle
32 (LV) in multiple planes from parasternal and apical acoustic windows. All measurements were
33 performed by a single experienced echocardiographer with the participant in the left lateral
34 decubitus position.

35 Parasternal long axis views allowed the collection of M-mode images at the tips of the mitral
36 valve leaflets perpendicular to septal and posterior walls taking care to ensure clear endocardial
37 definition. From M-mode traces septal (IVSd) and LV posterior wall thickness (LVPWd) in
38 diastole as well as LV chamber dimensions at end-diastole and systole (LVIDd, LVIDs) were
39 assessed following American Society of Echocardiography guidelines (Lang et al. 2015).

1 Apical 4-chamber views were digitised to assess LV end-diastolic (LVEDV) and end-systolic
2 (LVESV) volumes, which allowed the estimation of stroke volume (SV) and ejection fraction
3 (EF) using Simpsons' bi-plane method. From the 4-chamber view, colour Doppler and then
4 pulsed wave Doppler were used to assess peak flow velocities across the mitral valve. Using
5 a 4 mm sample volume in the area of peak flow LV early (E) and late (A) diastolic in-flow
6 velocities were recorded. From the same view, tissue-Doppler measures of myocardial wall
7 velocities were recorded. Taking care to adjust filters and scale and with the septal wall parallel
8 to the ultrasound beam, we interrogated the mitral annulus at the septal wall, recording peak
9 systolic (S') as well as early (E') and atrial (A') diastolic tissue velocities. The ratio of early
10 to late diastolic filling and tissue velocities were formulated.

11 Two-dimensional image optimisation including maintaining frame rate between 40 and 90 fps
12 was performed. For all measurements, images were analysed off-line by a single experienced
13 technician. Data reflect the average of 3-5 continuous cardiac cycles.

14

15 **Blood analysis**

16 A fasting 35 μm finger-capillary blood sample was collected in sterile conditions for the
17 subsequent determination a number of metabolic markers including: fasting blood glucose
18 (FBG, mmol.L^{-1}), Total Cholesterol (TC, mmol.L^{-1}), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-
19 C, mmol.L^{-1}), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C, mmol.L^{-1}), Triglycerides (TG,
20 mmol.L^{-1}) and TC:HDL-C ratio. The free-flow whole blood was analysed using a LDX
21 Cholestech analyser (Cholestech Corporation, USA).

22

23 **Calculation of clustered metabolic risk score**

24 Standardised z scores were calculated for percentage body fat, TC-HDL ratio, Glucose,
25 Triglycerides and MAP (based on the clustered risk score by Lamb et al. 2016). These were
26 then summed to create a composite clustered risk score. This composite score of
27 cardiometabolic risk may compensate for day to day fluctuations in individual risk factors.

28

29 **High intensity training intervention**

30 A five minute warm up of unloaded cycling at 60rpm preceded five 1 minute cycling bouts at
31 90% of the subject's $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$, interspersed with 2 minutes, resistance free active recovery at 60
32 rpm. This was repeated 3 times a week for 6 weeks, reaching 15 minutes of exercise per
33 session, using a cycle ergometer (Monark, Ergomedic 874E, Vansbro, Sweden) throughout.
34 All training sessions were supervised to ensure completion of training. Subject's training load
35 was adjusted at 3 weeks to align with any changes in $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$ found at the 3-week assessment
36 point.

37

1 **Statistics**

2 All statistical analysis was performed using SPSS v. 23 (IBM Statistics, UK). To determine
3 differences at 0, 3 and 6 weeks, an Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) was performed with
4 the pre-variable as the covariate and the group as the between-subjects factor. Bonferroni
5 pairwise comparisons were used to determine at which time points these differences occurred.
6 Partial eta squared (η^2) values provide estimates of effect sizes for the main analyses where
7 partial $\eta^2 \geq 0.01$, 0.09 and 0.25 classified as small, medium and large effect sizes respectively
8 (MRC, 2009).

9

10 **Results**

11 **Aerobic capacity**

12 Pairwise comparisons revealed that there was an improvement in maximal oxygen
13 consumption (VO_{2max}) in the exercise group from 0-6 weeks of 10% ($p=0.009$; partial $\eta^2=$
14 0.191). The control group exhibited no change in VO_{2max} across the 6 weeks ($p=.686$).

15 **Vascular structure and function**

16 There was a significant decrease in peripheral SBP ($p=0.005$; partial $\eta^2= 0.232$) in the training
17 group from 0-6 weeks. There was also a significant main effect for PP over time ($p=0.041$;
18 partial $\eta^2= 0.204$). There were no other significant group differences in vascular structure and
19 function across the training programme.

20 PWV and vascular structure measurements did not significantly change after the exercise
21 intervention (table 1).

22 **Cardiac structure and function**

23 There was a small yet significant decrease in ejection fraction and increase in end-systolic
24 volume in both groups over time ($p=0.01$; partial $\eta^2= 0.295$ and partial $\eta^2= 0.429$ respectively)
25 with no significant group effect or interaction ($p>0.05$). A between group difference in E was
26 also observed ($p=0.01$; partial $\eta^2= 0.361$) however this was due to greater early diastolic filling
27 velocities in the training group pre-intervention that persisted throughout the study. All other
28 cardiac measurements were unchanged by the training intervention and not significantly
29 different from the control group.

30 **Blood analysis and CV risk factors**

31 There were no significant group differences or training effects on clustered risk score (table 1;
32 $F(2, 22) = 0.483$, $p=0.623$). Anthropometric measurements were also not changed with the
33 training programme ($p>0.05$).

34

35

1 Discussion

2 This study, to current knowledge, is the first to investigate the simultaneous temporal changes
3 in peripheral and cardiac indices to elucidate the cardiovascular response to HIIT in a 6 week
4 period. The main finding of this study is that HIIT elicited improvements in aerobic capacity,
5 which are likely to be attributed to improved oxygen delivery and/or mitochondrial adaptations
6 since no improvements in cardiac function or vascular function were seen. In addition, there
7 were no beneficial reductions in CV risk factors or central arterial stiffness, but an improvement
8 in peripheral blood pressure was evident.

9 Enhancements in cardiorespiratory fitness are strongly associated with cardiovascular risk
10 reduction (Wilson et al. 2015). Kaminsky et al. (2013) reported that a rise of one metabolic
11 equivalent ($3.5 \text{ ml O}_2 \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$) in $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$ improved CVD survival by 10-25%. The present
12 study found that aerobic fitness was significantly increased by 11% ($5 \text{ ml O}_2 \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$) with
13 the 6 week training programme, suggesting a reduction in overall CV risk. However, the
14 clustered metabolic risk score was not significantly changed with training, suggesting that a
15 longer training period or greater volume of training is required to improve cardiometabolic
16 profiles. This is supported by Nybo et al (2010) who did not find any changes in total
17 cholesterol, HDL and LDL after 12 weeks of HIIT. However, they did show a significant
18 reduction in fasting glucose levels from $5.7 \pm 0.2 \text{ mM}$ to $5.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ mM}$. Our subjects already had
19 baseline fasting glucose values of $5.2 \pm 0.4 \text{ mM}$ and this therefore could explain why no
20 reductions were observed with the training program, suggesting the lowest threshold had
21 already been reached.

22 An increase in $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$ of 11% is particularly large in comparison to similar studies, with
23 Helgerud et al. (2007) showing an increase of only 7.2%. However, Gormley et al. (2008) have
24 previously shown that 6 weeks of high intensity training improves $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$ with moderate-
25 (10.0%), vigorous- (14.3%), and the near-maximal-intensity (20.6%) exercise. They
26 concluded that the highest intensity used for the significant portion of training was more
27 important than the mean intensity across the exercise protocol. Across our 15 minute protocol,
28 33% of that time was spent at a high intensity work rate of 90% $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$. However, Helgerud
29 et al. (2007) included 57% of high intensity work at 88% $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$ suggesting that the proportion
30 of high intensity training used is not necessarily indicative of improvements in $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$ with
31 high intensity training. Bacon et al. (2013) has recently observed that longer high intensity
32 intervals of 3-5 minutes can generate a marked increase in $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$. However, our study
33 deliberately included 1-minute work periods since Bacon et al. (2013) also observed that it
34 would be unrealistic for significant proportions of the population to participate in such an
35 exercise program that causes individuals to reach their upper limit for $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$. Our current
36 study did not have any withdrawals from the training group, but did eliminate two subjects for
37 not meeting the threshold attendance. Therefore, using this time-efficient (15 minutes total
38 exercise time) design may be a useful tool in significantly improving aerobic fitness in young
39 adults.

40 An association between higher aerobic fitness and lower central arterial stiffness has been
41 previously identified (Eugene et al. 1986; Feske et al. 1988; Tarnawski et al. 1994), indicating

1 the influence that aerobic capacity has on large artery stiffness. There was no significant
2 change in PWV with training, suggesting no change in aortic stiffness, and no significant
3 change in augmentation index (Alx) over the 6-week training period. Alx provides an indicator
4 of systemic arterial stiffness by measuring the contribution that the wave reflection makes to
5 the arterial pressure waveform. More recently, a dose-response relationship has been found
6 between exercise intensity, rather than volume of exercise, in improvement in Alx (Ashor et
7 al. 2014). However, 6 weeks of high intensity exercise training was not enough to elicit any
8 improvement in Alx. Padilla et al. (2008) and Tanaka et al. (2006) have both shown that high
9 intensity exercise is associated with the highest post-exercise shear stress. Therefore,
10 augmented NO release would result in a greater reduction in peripheral vascular resistance and,
11 consequently, Alx (Ashor et al. 2014). Conversely, Goto et al. (2003) showed that high
12 intensity exercise augmented oxidative stress, which may negate the positive effect that shear
13 stress has on endothelial function. In addition, high intensity exercise has been shown to
14 stimulate increases in blood flow leading to a non-laminar shear stress and thus resulting in no
15 enhancement in endothelial function (Harrison et al., 2006). Alx can be influenced by a number
16 of factors including age, gender, height, heart rate and some blood lipids (Wilkinson et al.
17 2002). All subjects were matched in age and gender, and similar in height ($176\pm 5\text{cm}$).
18 However, no significant change in Alx was still observed when matched to 75% heart rate
19 ($\text{Alx}@75\%$).

20 In relation to this, there was a significant decrease in peripheral SBP of 7% following 6 weeks
21 of training, suggesting an improvement in vascular resistance. Nybo et al. (2010) showed the
22 same decrease (-8mmHg) following 12 weeks of HIIT, however these same changes also
23 appeared in the prolonged running and strength training groups. Cornelissen et al. (2013)
24 showed that a lower training intensity had a small effect (+0.073) on reducing SBP in
25 comparison to moderate (-4.8) and high (-3.6) intensity exercise. However, these effects were
26 dependent on hypertensive status. High intensity interval training may lower SBP due to an
27 increase in endothelial NO availability resulting from an increase in blood velocity (Batacan et
28 al., 2016). However, with no changes in Alx or central pressures, it would suggest that there
29 may be other mechanisms which are enhancing vascular function with HIIT beyond increasing
30 shear stress.

31 However, it is important to note that recent studies have shown that central pressure is more
32 strongly related to future cardiovascular events than brachial pressures (Roman et al. 2007;
33 Pini et al. 2008; Jankowski et al. 2008). Although central pulse pressure (PP) showed a
34 significant main effect over time, both groups exhibited a decrease in PP which suggests a non-
35 training effect. Smulyan et al. 2003 stated that PP measurements using the SphymoCor system
36 can be variable ($\text{SD}=13.6\text{mmHg}$). This therefore may explain the significant decrease of 3
37 mmHg and 2mmHg found in the training and control groups respectively. In addition, central
38 arterial pulse pressure has also been associated with carotid intima-media thickness
39 (Boutouyrie et al. 1999) and therefore with no changes in brachial or femoral vascular diameter,
40 it is suggestive that the HIIT programme had no effect on vascular structure.

41 There were no significant changes to any cardiac structural measures in response to training
42 after 3 or 6 weeks. Previous findings have demonstrated an impact of HIT training on LV

1 morphology, however the present study found no change in cardiac structural measures most
2 likely due to the relatively short-duration of the study (Wislof et al, 2007). Whilst significant
3 decreases in ejection fraction were observed in both groups, these changes were small and
4 likely to be of little consequence as values were within the normal interstudy reliability range
5 of 7% (Grothues et al. 2002). There was a significant difference in ESV between groups at
6 each time-point but this is likely due to pre-intervention differences. With no meaningful
7 change in systolic function, these differences could be due to subtle heart rate variations with
8 changes within normal measurement variation.

9 Previous research has found improvements in autonomic cardiovascular control following 12
10 weeks of training (Hedari et al. 2013), whilst improvements in cardiac function have been seen
11 in cardiac patients including those with heart failure and coronary artery disease (Guiraud et
12 al. 2012) and additionally, a reduction in endocardial damage (Cassidy et al. 2017). The lack
13 of meaningful changes in cardiac function in the present study may be as a result of the good
14 health status observed in both the training and control groups. In contrast to patients with
15 cardiac disease, the subjects in the present study may have already been toward the upper end
16 of the cardiac adaptations possible without substantial increases in training load. Contrastingly,
17 the length of intervention may not have been sufficient to elicit significant structural and
18 functional changes at the cardiac level as the majority of studies who have seen these
19 improvements have been greater than 12 weeks (Wisloff et al. 2007).

20 Our study is strengthened by the supervision of all training sessions and the continual
21 verification of participants working at the correct intensities and completing the training
22 protocol. Participants were recreationally active and were therefore asked to continue their
23 normal habitual exercise that was monitored by training logs during the 6 weeks. Control
24 participants were recruited by convenience rather than random assignment and were matched
25 for age, physical activity levels and health status. They did exhibit a lower mean VO_{2max} (4.75
26 $ml \cdot min^{-1} \cdot kg^{-1}$ mean difference) compared to the training group, but pairwise analysis showed
27 no significant difference between the groups at baseline ($p=.242$). Although the control group
28 were asked to maintain normal habitual exercise, this was not monitored. Whilst our
29 participants were classed as active, none of them were attaining the 150 minutes per week (self-
30 reported) that is nationally recommended (NHS, 2017). Therefore, even though it might be
31 supposed that greater improvements may have been gained in more sedentary or diseased
32 individuals, it is important to ascertain the degree of improvement that a healthy adult can
33 obtain, since 33% of men are still not meeting the current recommended physical activity
34 guidelines (British Heart Foundation, 2015). Although Gold standard methods were mainly
35 employed, the measurement of resting arterial diameter was used solely as a marker of vascular
36 structure; additional methods which assess functional vascular responsiveness (e.g. FMD)
37 should be included in future studies. Furthermore, heart rate was not monitored during the
38 exercise training which may have helped ensure participants were operating at the desired
39 intensity.

40 Overall, six weeks of high-intensity training is enough to stimulate an increase in VO_{2max} and
41 a reduction in peripheral systolic pressure, but did not elicit significant structural and functional
42 changes at a cardiac level or improvements in central arterial stiffness and CV risk factors.

1 This study intentionally used a 15-minute protocol, since “lack of time” has been deemed the
2 most cited reason for not exercising, and adopted a HIIT protocol, as opposed to a SIT protocol,
3 as this has been deemed as more applicable to the general population (Ziemann, et al. 2011).
4 However, these findings demonstrate that although 15 minutes of high-intensity exercise 3
5 times per week can improve cardiorespiratory fitness, it is not sufficient to improve cardiac
6 indices, central arterial stiffness and blood lipid profiles and subsequently chronic disease risk
7 reduction within a 6 week period. This could be a result of employing this particular time-
8 efficient volume of exercise or the intensity of the work periods. Further investigation is
9 required to elicit the time course at which improvement in these indices become apparent when
10 adopting a time-efficient HIIT protocol.

11

12 **References**

13 Angadi SS, Mookadam F, Lee CD, Tucker WJ, Haykowsky MJ, Gaesser GA (2015) High-
14 intensity interval training vs. moderate-intensity continuous exercise training in heart failure
15 with preserved ejection fraction: a pilot study. *J Appl Physiol* 119(6):753-8.

16 Ashor AW, Lara J, Siervo M, Celis-Morales C, Mathers JC (2014) Effects of exercise
17 modalities on arterial stiffness and wave reflection: a systematic review and meta-analysis of
18 randomized controlled trials. *PLoS One* 15; 9(10):e110034.

19 Astorino TA, Allen RP, Roberson DW, Jurancich M (2012) Effect of high-intensity interval
20 training on cardiovascular function, VO₂max, and muscular force. *J Strength Cond Res*
21 26(1):138-145.

22 Astorino TA, Edmunds RM, Clark A, King L, Gallant RA, Namm S, Fischer A, Wood KM
23 (2017) High-intensity interval training increases cardiac output and VO₂max. *Med Sci Sports*
24 *Exerc* 49(2):265-73.

25 Batacan RB, Duncan MJ, Dalbo VJ, Tucker PS, Fenning AS (2016) Effects of high-intensity
26 interval training on cardiometabolic health: a systematic review and meta-analysis of
27 intervention studies. *Br J Sports Med* 51:494-503.

28 Boutouyrie P, Bussy C, Lacolley P, Girerd X, Laloux B, Laurent S (1999) Association between
29 local pulse pressure, mean blood pressure, and large-artery remodelling. *Circulation*
30 100(13):1387-93.

31 British Heart Foundation (2015). Physical activity statistics 2015. IOP British Heart
32 Foundation. <https://www.bhf.org.uk/publications/statistics/physical-activity-statistics-2015>.
33 Accessed 28 September 2017.

34 Buchheit M, Laursen PB (2013) High-intensity interval training, solutions to the programming
35 puzzle. *Sports Med* 43(5):313-38.

36 Cassidy S, Thoma C, Houghton D, Trenell MI (2017) High-intensity interval training: a review
37 of its impact on glucose control and cardiometabolic health. *Diabetologia* 60(1):7-23.

- 1 Esfandiari S, Sasson Z, Goodman JM (2014) Short-term high-intensity interval and continuous
2 moderate-intensity training improve maximal aerobic power and diastolic filling during
3 exercise. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 114(2):331–43.
- 4 Eugene M, Vandewalle H, Bertholon JF, Teillac A (1986) Arterial elasticity and physical
5 working capacity in young men. *J Appl Physiol* 61(5):1720-3.
- 6 Feske W, Finkelstein SM, Francis G, Cohn JN (1988) Arterial vascular compliance response
7 to exercise in hypertension. *Biomed Sci Instrum* 24:161.
- 8 Gebel K, Ding D, Chey T, Stamatakis E, Brown WJ, Bauman AE (2015) Effect of moderate to
9 vigorous physical activity on all-cause mortality in middle-aged and older Australians. *JAMA*
10 *Intern Med* 175(6):970-7.
- 11 Godin G, Desharnais R, Valois P, Lepage L, Jobin J, Bradet R (1994) Differences in perceived
12 barriers to exercise between high and low intenders: observations among different populations.
13 *American Am J Health Promot* 8(4):279-85.
- 14 Gormley SE, Swain DP, High RE, Spina RJ, Dowling EA, Kotipalli US, Gandrakota RA
15 (2008) Effect of Intensity of Aerobic Training on VO₂max. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 40(7):1336.
- 16 Goto C, Higashi Y, Kimura M, Goto C, Higashi Y, Kimura M, Noma K, Hara K, Nakagawa
17 K, Kawamura M, Chayama K, Yoshizumi M, Nara L (2003) Effect of different intensities of
18 exercise on endothelium-dependent vasodilation in humans. Role of endothelium-dependent
19 nitric oxide and oxidative stress. *Circulation* 108:530–535.
- 20 Grothues F, Smith GC, Moon JC, Bellenger NG, Collins P, Klein HU, Pennell DJ (2002)
21 Comparison of interstudy reproducibility of cardiovascular magnetic resonance with two-
22 dimensional echocardiography in normal subjects and in patients with heart failure or left
23 ventricular hypertrophy. *Am J Cardiol* 90(1):29-34.
- 24 Guiraud T, Nigam A, Gremeaux V, Meyer P, Juneau M, Bosquet L (2012) High-intensity
25 interval training in cardiac rehabilitation. *Sports Med* 42(7):587-605.
- 26 Harrison DG, Widder J, Grumbach I, Chen W, Weber M, Searles C (2006) Endothelial
27 mechanotransduction, nitric oxide and vascular inflammation. *J. Intern. Med* 259(4):351-363.
- 28 Heisz JJ, Tejada MGM, Paolucci EM, Muir C (2016) Enjoyment for high-intensity interval
29 exercise increases during the first six weeks of training: implications for promoting exercise
30 adherence in sedentary adults. *PloS one*, 11(12), p.e0168534.
- 31 Helgerud J, Høydal K, Wang E, Karlsen T, Berg P, Bjerkaas M, Simonsen T, Helgesen C,
32 Hjorth N, Bach R, Hoff J (2007) Aerobic high-intensity intervals improve VO_{2max} more than
33 moderate training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 39(4):665-71.
- 34 Heydari M, Boutcher YN, Boutcher SH (2013) High-intensity intermittent exercise and
35 cardiovascular and autonomic function. *Clin Auton Res* 23(1):57-65.

- 1 Houmard JA, Tanner CJ, Slentz CA, Duscha BD, McCartney JS, Kraus WE (2004) Effect of
2 the volume and intensity of exercise training on insulin sensitivity. *J Appl Physiol* 96(1):101-
3 6.
- 4 Jankowski P, Kawecka-Jaszcz K, Czarnecka D, Brzozowska-Kiszka M, Styczkiewicz K,
5 Loster M, Kloch-Badełek M, Wiliński J, Curyło AM, Dudek D (2008) Pulsatile but not steady
6 component of blood pressure predicts cardiovascular events in coronary patients. *Hyperten*
7 51(4):848-55.
- 8 Jung ME, Bourne JE, Beauchamp MR, Robinson E, Little JP (2015) High-intensity interval
9 training as an efficacious alternative to moderate-intensity continuous training for adults with
10 prediabetes. *J Diabetes Res* 2015.
- 11 Kaminsky LA, Arena R, Beckie TM, Brubaker PH, Church TS, Forman DE, Franklin BA,
12 Gulati M, Lavie CJ, Myers J, Patel MJ (2013) The Importance of Cardiorespiratory Fitness in
13 the United States: The Need for a National Registry. *Circulation* 127(5):652-62.
- 14 Karlsen T, Aamot II, Haykowsky M, Rognmo Ø (2017) High Intensity interval training for
15 maximizing health outcomes. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 60: 67–77.
- 16 Kemi OJ, Haram PM, Loennechen JP, Osnes JB, Skomedal T, Wisløff U, Ellingsen Ø (2005)
17 Moderate vs. high exercise intensity: differential effects on aerobic fitness, cardiomyocyte
18 contractility, and endothelial function. *Cardiovasc Res* 67(1):161-72.
- 19 Kraus WE, Houmard JA, Duscha BD, Knetzger KJ, Wharton MB, McCartney JS, Bales CW,
20 Henes S, Samsa GP, Otvos JD, Kulkarni KR (2002) Effects of the amount and intensity of
21 exercise on plasma lipoproteins. *N Engl J Med* 347(19):1483-92.
- 22 Lamb MJ, Westgate K, Brage S, Ekelund U, Long GH, Griffin SJ, Simmons RK, Cooper AJ
23 (2016) Prospective associations between sedentary time, physical activity, fitness and
24 cardiometabolic risk factors in people with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia* 59(1):110-20.
- 25 Lang RM, Badano LP, Mor-Avi V, Afilalo J, Armstrong A, Ernande L, Flachskampf FA,
26 Foster E, Goldstein SA, Kuznetsova T, Lancellotti P (2015) Recommendations for cardiac
27 chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: an update from the American Society
28 of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *Eur Heart J*
29 *Cardiovasc Imaging* 16(3):233-71.
- 30 Leon AS, Richardson M (1997) Exercise, health, and disease. In Roberts SO, Robergs RA,
31 Hanson P (ed) *Clinical Exercise Testing and Prescription. Theory and Application*, 1st edn.
32 CRC Press Inc, Boca Raton, FL, pp 281-302.
- 33 Macpherson RE, Hazell TJ, Olver TD, Paterson DH, Lemon PW (2011) Run sprint interval
34 training improves aerobic performance but not maximal cardiac output. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*
35 43(1):115-22.

- 1 MRC Cognition and Brain Sciences Unit (2009) Rules of thumb on magnitudes of effect sizes.
2 IOP MRC Cognition and Brain Sciences Unit. [http://imaging.mrc-](http://imaging.mrc-cbu.cam.ac.uk/statswiki/FAQ/effectSize)
3 [cbu.cam.ac.uk/statswiki/FAQ/effectSize](http://imaging.mrc-cbu.cam.ac.uk/statswiki/FAQ/effectSize). Accessed 17 May 2017.
- 4 NHS (2017) Physical activity guidelines for adults. IOP NHS Choices.
5 <https://www.nhs.uk/Livewell/fitness/Pages/physical-activity-guidelines-for-adults.aspx>.
6 Accessed 26 September 2017.
- 7 Nybo L, Sundstrup E, Jakobsen MD, Mohr M, Hornstrup T, Simonsen L, Bülow J, Randers
8 MB, Nielsen JJ, Aagaard P, Krstrup P (2010) High-intensity training versus traditional
9 exercise interventions for promoting health. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 42(10):1951-8.
- 10 Padilla J, Harris RA, Rink LD, Wallace JP (2008) Characterization of the brachial artery shear
11 stress following walking exercise. *Vas Med* 13(2):105-11.
- 12 Pini R, Cavallini MC, Palmieri V, Marchionni N, Di Bari M, Devereux RB, Masotti G, Roman
13 MJ (2008) Central but not brachial blood pressure predicts cardiovascular events in an
14 unselected geriatric population: the ICARE Dicomano Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 51(25):2432-
15 9.
- 16 Roman MJ, Devereux RB, Kizer JR, Lee ET, Galloway JM, Ali T, Umans JG, Howard BV
17 (2007) Central pressure more strongly relates to vascular disease and outcome than does
18 brachial pressure. *Hyperten* 50(1):197-203.
- 19 Smulyan H, Siddiqui DS, Carlson RJ, London GM, Safar ME (2003) Clinical utility of aortic
20 pulses and pressures calculated from applanated radial-artery pulses. *Hyperten* 42(2):150-
21 155.
- 22 Tanaka H, Shimizu S, Ohmori F, Muraoka Y, Kumagai M, Yoshizawa M, Kagaya A (2006)
23 Increases in blood flow and shear stress to nonworking limbs during incremental exercise. *Med*
24 *Sci Sports Exerc* 38(1):81-5.
- 25 Tarnawski M, Cybulski G, Doorly D, Dumoulin C, Darrow R, Caro C (1994) Noninvasive
26 determination of local wave speed and distensibility of the femoral artery by comb-excited
27 Fourier velocity-encoded magnetic resonance imaging: measurements on athletic and
28 nonathletic human subjects. *Heart Vessels* 9(4):194-201.
- 29 Thijssen DH, Dawson EA, Black MA, Hopman MT, Cable NT, Green DJ (2009) Brachial
30 artery blood flow responses to different modalities of lower limb exercise. *Med Sci Sports*
31 *Exerc* 41(5):1072-9.
- 32 Tschakert G, Hofmann P (2013) High-intensity intermittent exercise: methodological and
33 physiological aspects. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 8(6):600-10.
- 34 Van Bortel LM, Laurent S, Boutouyrie P, Chowienczyk P, Cruickshank JK, De Backer T,
35 Filipovsky J, Huybrechts S, Mattace-Raso FU, Protogerou AD, Schillaci G (2012) Expert
36 consensus document on the measurement of aortic stiffness in daily practice using carotid-
37 femoral pulse wave velocity. *J Hypertens* 30(3):445-8.

- 1 Wen CP, Wai JP, Tsai MK, Yang YC, Cheng TY, Lee MC, Chan HT, Tsao CK, Tsai SP, Wu
2 X (2011) Minimum amount of physical activity for reduced mortality and extended life
3 expectancy: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet* 378(9798):1244-53.
- 4 Weston KS, Wisløff U, Coombes JS (2014) High-intensity interval training in patients with
5 lifestyle-induced cardiometabolic disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Sports*
6 *Med* 48(16):1227-34.
- 7 Wilkinson IB, Fuchs SA, Jansen IM, Spratt JC, Murray GD, Cockcroft JR, Webb DJ (1998)
8 Reproducibility of pulse wave velocity and augmentation index measured by pulse wave
9 analysis. *J Hypertens* 16(12):2079-84.
- 10 Wilkinson IB, Prasad K, Hall IR, Thomas A, MacCallum H, Webb DJ, Frenneaux MP,
11 Cockcroft JR (2002) Increased central pulse pressure and augmentation index in subjects with
12 hypercholesterolemia. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 39(6):1005-11.
- 13 Wilson MG, Ellison GM, Cable NT (2015) Basic science behind the cardiovascular benefits of
14 exercise. *Heart* 101(10):758-65.
- 15 Wood KM, Olive B, LaValle K, Thompson H, Greer K, Astorino TA (2016) Dissimilar
16 physiological and perceptual responses between sprint interval training and high-intensity
17 interval training. *J Strength Cond Res* 30(1):244-50.
- 18 Ziemann E, Grzywacz T, Luszczuk M, Laskowski R, Olek RA, Gibson AL (2011) Aerobic and
19 anaerobic changes with high-intensity interval training in active college-aged men. *J Strength*
20 *Cond Res* 25(4):1104-12.

21

22

23

24

25

Table 1: Cardiometabolic and vascular indices before, mid-point and after the 6-week intervention period for the training group and control.

	Training group (n=12)			Control group (n=9)		
	0 weeks	3 weeks	6 weeks	0 weeks	3 weeks	6 weeks
VO _{2max} (mL·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹)	42.5 ± 8.3	44.3 ± 7.3	47.4 ± 8.5 *	37.7 ± 8.3	40.5 ± 8.7	40.0 ± 8.4
VO _{2max} (L·min ⁻¹)	3.1 ± 0.6	3.2 ± 0.6	3.4 ± 0.6	2.7 ± 0.7	3.0 ± 0.6	2.8 ± 0.7
Clustered risk score	-0.07 ± 0.57	-0.04 ± 0.89	-0.02 ± 0.88	-0.01 ± 0.32	0.05 ± 0.30	-0.03 ± 0.24
SBP (mmHg)	126 ± 8	120 ± 7	116 ± 8*	116 ± 7	125 ± 10	119 ± 8
DBP (mmHg)	70 ± 5	66 ± 8	65 ± 10	64 ± 8	65 ± 11	65 ± 7
DP (mmHg)	70 ± 6	67 ± 7	66 ± 9	64 ± 7	69 ± 8	68 ± 6
MAP (mmHg)	85 ± 6	80 ± 7	78 ± 8	77 ± 8	83 ± 9	80 ± 4
SP (mmHg)	109 ± 6	104 ± 6	102 ± 8	101 ± 8	106 ± 10	102 ± 6
PP (mmHg)	39 ± 6	37 ± 4	36 ± 6*	36 ± 5	37 ± 6	34 ± 7*
AP (mmHg)	4 ± 3	3 ± 4	4 ± 3	2 ± 3	1 ± 5	1 ± 5
Alx (%)	9 ± 8	8 ± 10	13 ± 9	7 ± 9	1 ± 13	4 ± 11
PWV (ms)	6 ± 1	5 ± 1	5 ± 1	5 ± 1	5 ± 1	5 ± 1
Brachial diameter (cm)	0.41 ± 0.05	0.44 ± 0.06	0.43 ± 0.04	0.42 ± 0.03	0.44 ± 0.06	0.43 ± 0.03
Femoral diameter (cm)	0.67 ± 0.06	0.70 ± 0.06	0.70 ± 0.05	0.65 ± 0.05	0.65 ± 0.05	0.63 ± 0.05

Data presented as mean ± SD. * P<0.05, significantly different from baseline. VO_{2max}, maximal oxygen consumption; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; DP, central aortic diastolic pressure; MAP, mean arterial pressure; SP, central aortic systolic pressure; PP, central aortic pulse pressure; AP, central augmented pressure; Alx, augmentation index; PWV, pulse wave velocity.

Table 2: Cardiac indices before, mid-point and after the 6-week intervention period for the training group and control.

	Training group (n=12)			Control group (n=9)		
	0 weeks	3 weeks	6 weeks	0 weeks	3 weeks	6 weeks
IVSd (mm)	9.4 ± 1.4	8.9 ± 1.4	9.3 ± 1.3	8.0 ± 0.8	8.0 ± 1.1	8.3 ± 0.8
LVPWd (mm)	9.5 ± 0.9	9.3 ± 1.5	9.7 ± 1.3	9.5 ± 0.9	9.4 ± 1.1	9.9 ± 0.8
LVEDV (ml)	122.2 ± 17.2	118.4 ± 16.9	126.7 ± 12.7	120.2 ± 16.4	131.9 ± 16.9	122.1 ± 22.9
LVESV (ml)	47.8 ± 10.7	52.1 ± 11.1	51.5 ± 6.5*	48.6 ± 6.2	58.8 ± 8.6	55.8 ± 11.2*
SV (ml)	74.6 ± 12.8	66.3 ± 7.3	75.1 ± 9.9	71.6 ± 12.4	73.0 ± 9.8	66.2 ± 12.2
EF (%)	61.0 ± 6.5	56.3 ± 4.5	59.1 ± 4.0*	59.4 ± 3.8	55.4 ± 3.1	54.3 ± 2.1*
E (cm·s)	0.81 ± 0.07	0.84 ± 0.10	0.80 ± 0.10†	0.72 ± 0.04	0.74 ± 0.08	0.70 ± 0.10
A (cm·s)	0.46 ± 0.08	0.45 ± 0.08	0.4 ± 0.10	0.41 ± 0.06	0.40 ± 0.05	0.40 ± 0.10
E:A	1.82 ± 0.39	1.95 ± 0.49	2.07 ± 0.41	1.84 ± 0.33	1.91 ± 0.14	1.77 ± 0.15
E' (cm·s)	0.14 ± 0.02	0.14 ± 0.02	0.14 ± 0.02	0.16 ± 0.03	0.15 ± 0.03	0.14 ± 0.02
A' (cm·s)	0.08 ± 0.02	0.08 ± 0.02	0.07 ± 0.01	0.09 ± 0.04	0.08 ± 0.03	0.07 ± 0.01
S' (cm·s)	0.09 ± 0.02	0.10 ± 0.02	0.09 ± 0.02	0.10 ± 0.03	0.13 ± 0.07	0.08 ± 0.01

Data presented as mean ± SD. * P<0.05, significantly different from baseline. † P<0.05, significantly different between groups. IVSd, interventricular septum thickness at end -diastole; LVPWd, left ventricular posterior wall thickness at end -diastole; LVEDV, left ventricular end-diastolic volume; LVESV, left ventricular end-systolic volume; SV, stroke volume; EF, ejection fraction; E, peak velocity of early diastolic transmitral flow; A, peak velocity of late transmitral flow; E:A, ratio of E to A; E', peak velocity of early diastolic mitral annular motion; A', peak velocity of diastolic mitral annular motion; S', peak velocity of systolic mitral annular motion.